

**RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank
South (West) Limited**

**RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank
South (East) Limited**

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

**Design and Access Statement (Revision 3) (Tracked)
Volume 8**

June 2025

Application Reference: 8.8

APFP Regulation: 5(2)(q)

Revision: 03

Company:	RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited	Asset:	Development
Project:	Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms	Sub Project/Package:	Consents
Document Title or Description:	Design and Access Statement (Revision 3) (Tracked)		
Document Number:	005028829-03	Contractor Reference Number:	11538B

COPYRIGHT © RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited, 2024. All rights reserved.

This document is supplied on and subject to the terms and conditions of the Contractual Agreement relating to this work, under which this document has been supplied, in particular:

LIABILITY

In preparation of this document RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited has made reasonable efforts to ensure that the content is accurate, up to date and complete for the purpose for which it was contracted. RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited makes no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of material supplied by the client or their agent.

Other than any liability

in RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited detailed in the contracts between the parties for this work RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited shall have no liability for any loss, damage, injury, claim, expense, cost or other consequence arising as a result of use or reliance upon any information contained in or omitted from this document.

Any persons intending to use this document should satisfy themselves as to its applicability for their intended purpose. The user of this document has the obligation to employ safe working practices for any activities referred to and to adopt specific practices appropriate to local conditions.

Rev No.	Date	Status/Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Approved by
01	June 2024	Final for DCO Application	RWE	RWE	RWE
02	February 2025	Submission for Deadline 2	RWE	RWE	RWE
03	June 2025	Submission for Deadline 7	RWE	RWE	RWE

Revision Change Log			
Rev No.	Page	Section	Description
01	N/A	N/A	Final for DCO Submission
02	6-10	Glossary	Glossary terms have been updated where necessary.
02	11-13	Acronyms	Acronyms have been updated where necessary.
02	14-15	1.2	Section 1.2 has been updated to include more detail on the Projects design principles and how they will be used.
02	15	Plate 1-1	A new plate has been added to visually represent the seven overarching DBS design principles.
02	17	1.3.1	Updated to include direct reference to PINS guidance on Good Deising for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects. Minor amendments to the list of good design benefits.
02	19	1.3.3	A new subheading has been added to this section to divide pre-application consultation and non-statutory targeted consultation on Project Change Request 2 [AS-152]. Reference to the Consultation Report [APP-034] has been added.
02	20-21	1.3.3	A new section on non-statutory targeted consultation on Project Change Request 2 [AS-152] has been added to provide detail on consultation and responses with a summary stating stakeholders broadly welcomed the proposed changes to the Onshore Development Area. The change was accepted by the ExA on January 21st 2025.
02	21-22	1.3.4	Minor amendment of the section title. Additional information has been added in relation to the design development and process, detailed the design vision key aims.
02	23	1.3.4	Additional bullet points added to detail the design changes in Project Change Request 2 [AS-152].
02	24	1.3.4	Additional paragraph added to highlight the site layout changes in Appendix 5-3 - Engineering Drawings (Revision 2) [document reference 7.5.5.3].
02	25, 78	1.4, 4.1.2	Additional wording added to highlight the DAS should be read in conjunction with Project Change Request 2 Substation Zone [AS-152].

Revision Change Log			
02	26, 43, 46, 48, 61, 65	Plate 1-3, Plate 3-2, Plate 3-3, Plate 3-4, Plate 4-3, Plate 4-4	Plate has been updated with the most recent site boundary.
02	27	Plate 1-4	Updated Plate to remove the collector platforms and ESP.
02	28	1.4.1	Further discussion of the site layout changes, as per Project Change Request 2 [AS-152] has been added.
02	31-33	Table 2-1	References to sections within the DAS (Revision 2) [document reference 8.8] has been updated.
02	36	2.1.6	New subheading and discussion on Good Design has been included.
02	40	Plate 3-1	Minor amendment to plate title, highlighting the site boundary.
02	49	3.3	Clarification provided on the recorded habitats i.e. recordings were prior to the acceptance of Project Change Request 2 [AS-152].
02	50	3.3	Further detail has been added on refinements that have been made post submission, making reference to Outline Ecological Management Plan (Revision 4) [document reference 8.10] and Outline Code of Construction Practice (Revision 3) [REP1-025].
02	51-52	3.3	Further clarification on hedgerow removal has been provided. Confirmation that no ancient trees, ancient woodlands, veteran trees or protected trees require removal in order to facilitate the Projects has been provided.
02	55	3.4	Additional text added to discuss the WWII Heavy Anti-aircraft gunsight, 350m west of Butt Farm (Scheduled Monument).
02	57-60	4	Onshore design principles have been substantially updated to provide more detail on the Projects design principles, including two new Plates (Plate 4-1 and Plate 4-2). Table 4-1 has been updated to give a detailed overview of the design principles intention and approach.
02	57	Plate 4-1	A new plate has been added to highlight the seven overarching DBS design principles.
02	58	Plate 4-2	A new plate has been added to represent how the design principles can be implemented within the landscape scheme.

Revision Change Log			
02	59-60	Table 4-1	Additional text added to provide examples of how the design principles can be implemented within the landscape scheme.
02	N/A	4.4	4.1 is now 4.4 Landfall and has been moved to pages 117-120.
02	N/A	4.3	4.2 is now 4.3 Onshore Export Cable Corridor and has been moved to pages 106-116.
02	62, 140	4.1.2, 6	Reference to the Project Change Request 2 [AS-152] has been added.
02	63	Table 4-2	The Onshore Converter Stations Maximum Constructed Parameters have been updated.
02	66	4.1.3	Additional wording on design principles has been added to clarify the design considerations.
02	67	Plate 4-5	Updated to reflect new site layout. Minor amendment to Plate title
02	67-68	4.1.3.1	Additional wording has been added to clarify planting management.
02	68	Plate 4-6	The Plate has been updated to include the correct site boundary and corrections to service buildings and stores buildings.
02	69	Paragraph 138	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to function and layout.
02	70	4.1.3.2	Further clarification on the tallest feature within the Onshore Substation Zone has been added.
02	70	Plate 4-7	Updated to reflect new site layout.
02	71	Paragraph 143	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to built form.
02	72-74	4.1.3.3	A new table has been added to detail material advantages and disadvantages. Further discussion on materials and design considerations. Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to materials.
02	74	Paragraph 148	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to use of construction materials.
02	77	Paragraph 156	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to use of colour.
02	80	Paragraph 159	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to hard landscaping choices.

Revision Change Log			
02	81-82	4.1.3.6	Additional references to Appendix A – Landscape Sections and also Appendix 5-3 – Engineering Drawings (Revision 2) [document reference 7.5.5.3] have been added. Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to site access.
02	81	Plate 4-17	Plate has been updated to show most recent site boundary and revised access road.
02	82	Paragraph 166	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to site access.
02	84, 90	Plate 4-20, Plate 4-24	Plates have been updated with the new base plan.
02	85	Paragraph 173	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to lighting within and around the site
02	86-90	4.1.3.8	Additional wording is provided to clarify calculations are based on the design prior to the implementation of Project Change Request 2. Further detail is provided on the growth of trees and clarification of the updates to the OLMP (Revision 3) [document reference 8.11] has been provided.
02	90	Paragraph 186	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to soft landscaping choices.
02	91-97	4.1.3.9	Additional detail has been added to the discussion on SUDs and drainage, including wording to align with the Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 3) [document reference 8.12]. new detail is provided on catchment planting and riparian planting.
02	91	Plate 4-25	The Plate has been updated with the new base plan and additional features.
02	92	Plate 4-26	A new plate has been added to visually represent pond typologies.
02	93, 94	Plate 4-27, Plate 4-29	Plate updated to reflect additional SuDS principles and layout.
02	94	Plate 4-28	A new plate has been added as an example of swales around the Onshore Converter Stations.
02	95	Plate 4-30	A new plate has been added as an example of proposed planting catching and slowing rain water.
02	96	Plate 4-31	A new plate has been added as an example of riparian planting adjacent to attenuation areas.
02	97	Paragraph 195	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to SuDS and drainage.
02	98	Plat 4-34	The Plate has been updated with the new base plan.

Revision Change Log			
02	99	Paragraph 202	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to boundary treatment, fencing and hedgerows.
02	101	4.1.3.12	Additional detail on earthworks has been provided.
02	102	Paragraph 208	Principle icons have been added to visually represent their relevance to earthworks.
02	103	4.2	Additional detail taken from Outline Ecological Management Plan (Revision 4) [document reference: 8.10] has been included.
02	104, 107	Plate 4-36 Plate 4-37	The plate has been updated to reflect the latest design.
02	106	4.3	Title of section has been amended to Onshore Export Cable Corridor & Onward Cable Routing
02	108-109	4.3.2	New section added to provide an overview to the Onshore Onward Cable Routing.
02	110-113	Table 4-4	Minor amendments to the Table title and contents to include Onward Cable Routing.
02	121	5.1	Minor amendments to the wording of the Project Design Champion.
02	122-123	5.2	Amendments and additional information to provide further clarification of the Design Review Panel process.
02	124	6	Reference to the Project Change Request 2 [AS-152] and 'Good Design' updates have been added.
02	127	Appendix A	Appendix A has been updated and added to the end of the report.
03	70	Plate 4-7	Plate 4-7 updated to reflect the Project Change Request 2 [AS-152]
03	81-83	4.1.3.6	Section on the access road updated to provide additional design information in line with The Applicant's Response to ExQ1 [REP3-027] LVI.1.13 and The Applicants' Written Summaries of Oral Submissions made at ISH6 [REP6-055] in section 2.3.2, to commit that the permanent access track will not be lit, or include any permanent signage and street furniture will be minimised.
03	84	4.1.3.7	Section on lighting updated to confirm that the Onshore Converter Station will not be continuously lit at night in response to a meeting with the ERYC on the 3 rd April 2025 and The Applicants' Responses to June 2025 Hearing Action Points [REP6-056], Action Point No.14.
03	110-114	4.3.3 Table 4-4	Amendments to clarify the burial depth of the cables through agricultural land, in line with The Applicant's Response to ExQ1 [REP3-027] LUA.1.7.

Revision Change Log			
03	124	5.2	Updated to commit to the inclusion of an independent architect on the Design Review Panel to address The Applicants' Responses to April 2025 Hearing Action Points [REP4-096], Action Point No.24.
03	125	5.2	Updated to confirm the Applicants will agree the detailed terms of reference (ToR) guide for the Design Review Pannel with the ERYC to address The Applicants Responses to the Examining Authority's Second Written Questions (ExQ2) , GD.2.3.
03	126	5.2	Amendments to clarify the consultation process on the Design Review Panel, including for consultation with Historic England, in response to The Applicant's Response to ExQ1 [REP3-027], HE.1.25 and The Applicants' Responses to April 2025 Hearing Action Points [REP4-096], Action Point No.24.
03	126	5.2	Updated to clarify the following in response The Applicants' Responses to ExAQ2 , GD2.3: • when the 28 day review period for design review panel outputs would start; • that a meeting will be held with the East Riding of Yorkshire Council, the relevant ward councillors and Historic England to present the design, ahead of the review period commencing; and • a report would be provided stating how any comments made had been addressed.
03	N/A	Appendix A	The cross-section has been updated in response to The Applicant's Response to ExQ1 [REP3-027], HF.1.18: • Section D-D' through the SuDS feature; • Proposed ground levels; • Locations of swales and permeable paving; and • Indicative widths of features.

Contents

1	Design and Access Statement.....	14
1.1	Introduction	14
1.2	Purpose of Document.....	14
1.3	Delivering Good Design.....	17
1.3.1	What is Good Design?.....	17
1.3.2	Sustainable Design.....	17
1.3.3	Consultation	19
1.3.3.1	Pre-Application Consultation	19
1.3.3.2	Non-statutory targeted consultation on Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone.....	20
1.3.4	Summary of Design Vision & Key Decisions	21
1.4	Project Overview.....	25
1.4.1	Project Development Scenarios	28
2	Guidance and Policies.....	29
2.1	National Policies and Guidance	29
2.1.1	National Policy Statements for Energy Infrastructure (NPS, 2024)	29
2.1.1.1	Overarching NPS for Energy, EN-1 (2024).....	29
2.1.1.2	NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure, EN-3 (2024)	30
2.1.1.3	NPS for Electricity Networks Infrastructure, EN-5 (2024)	31
2.1.2	National Infrastructure Commissions Design Principles for National Infrastructure (NIC, 2020).....	34
2.1.3	IEMA Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to Delivering Quality Development (IEMA, 2016).....	34
2.1.4	National Infrastructure Strategy (NIS, 2020)	35
2.1.5	National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, 2023).....	35
2.1.6	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Good Design (Planning Inspectorate, 2024).....	36
2.1.6.1	Definitions and Achieving Good Design.....	36
2.1.6.2	Good Design Process and Outcomes:.....	36
2.1.6.3	Assemble, Research, Co-ordinate, and Secure.....	36
2.1.6.4	Design Principles, Parameters, and Codes	37

2.2	Local Policies and Guidance.....	37
2.2.1	The East Riding of Yorkshire Local Plan 2012-2029: Strategy Document (East Riding of Yorkshire Council, 2016).....	37
2.2.2	East Riding Design Code (ERDC, 2023).....	37
3	Site Context.....	39
3.1	Landscape.....	40
3.1.1	National Landscape Character	40
3.1.2	Local Landscape Character	42
3.2	Flood Risks.....	47
3.3	Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology	49
3.4	Historic Environment.....	52
4	Onshore Design Principles	57
4.1	Onshore Converter Stations.....	61
4.1.1	Overview.....	61
4.1.2	Parameters.....	62
4.1.3	Design Considerations	64
4.1.3.1	Function & Layout.....	67
4.1.3.2	Built Form.....	69
4.1.3.3	Materials	72
4.1.3.4	Colour	75
4.1.3.5	Hard Landscaping.....	79
4.1.3.6	Access	81
4.1.3.7	Lighting	84
4.1.3.8	Soft Landscaping.....	87
4.1.3.9	SUDs and Drainage	91
4.1.3.10	Boundary Treatments, Fencing and Hedgerows.....	98
4.1.3.11	Noise	100
4.1.3.12	Earthworks.....	100
4.2	Outline Landscape Mitigation Plan	103
4.3	Onshore Export Cable Corridor & Onward Cable Routing	106
4.3.1	Onshore Export Cable Corridor Overview	106
4.3.2	Onshore Onward Cable Routing Overview	108

4.3.3	Parameters.....	109
4.3.4	Design Considerations	114
4.4	Landfall	119
4.4.1	Overview.....	119
4.4.2	Parameters.....	120
4.4.3	Design Considerations	122
5	Design Review Process.....	123
5.1	Project Design Champion	123
5.2	Design Review Panel Process.....	124
6	Summary.....	127

Plates

Plate 1-1	Overview of the DBS design principles.....	15
Plate 1-2	Overview of the DBS site selection process.....	24
Plate 1-3	Onshore and Offshore development areas	26
Plate 1-4	DBS East and DBS West Overview Schematic (N.B. Not to Scale).....	27
Plate 2-1	NIC key design principles.....	34
Plate 3-1	National Character Area mapping with site boundary highlighted	40
Plate 3-2	East Riding of Yorkshire landscape character areas	43
Plate 3-3	Landscape and designation site context.....	46
Plate 3-4	Onshore Development Area flood risk mapping	48
Plate 3-5	Existing historic environment assets	53
Plate 4-1	Overview of the DBS design principles.....	57
Plate 4-2	Example of the design principles implementation	58
Plate 4-3	Onshore Development Area	61
Plate 4-4	Onshore Substation Zone	65
Plate 4-5	Indicative built form of the DBS Onshore Converter Stations.....	67
Plate 4-6	Indicative Onshore Substation Zone layout	68
Plate 4-7	Example of the Projects' built form after construction on the left and 10 years after the planting is established on the right. Viewpoint 2: Coppleflat Lane, Bentley as shown in the LVIA	70
Plate 4-8	Polycarbonate cladding.....	73

Plate 4-9 Metal cladding	73
Plate 4-10 Existing view from Oriel Close (viewpoint 4 as show in the LIVA)	76
Plate 4-11 Initial colour sampling exercise to determine dominant landscape colours	76
Plate 4-12 example of colour panelling applied to the development block to better integrate the built form into the landscape	77
Plate 4-13 Example of concrete pavers/ hardstanding	79
Plate 4-14 Example of shingle (Source: geosyn.co.uk)	79
Plate 4-15 Example of asphalt.....	79
Plate 4-16 Indicative hard landscape section.....	79
Plate 4-17 Proposed site access and PRow network.....	81
Plate 4-18 Proposed access road indicative cross section	82
Plate 4-19 Lighting examples.....	85
Plate 4-20 Dark corridor proposals.....	86
Plate 4-21 Soft landscape section.....	88
Plate 4-22 Example of woodland and understorey	89
Plate 4-23 Example of meadow grassland.....	89
Plate 4-24 Soft landscape proposals	90
Plate 4-25 Example SuDS and drainage strategy subject to detailed design	92
Plate 4-26 Example of different types of attenuation ponds.....	93
Plate 4-27 Example of attenuation pond function.....	94
Plate 4-28 Example of swales around the Onshore Converter Stations	94
Plate 4-29 Example of swale.....	95
Plate 4-30 Example of proposed planting catching and slowing rain water	96
Plate 4-31 Example of riparian planting adjacent to attenuation areas	96
Plate 4-32 Example of filter drains and permeable surfaces.....	97
Plate 4-33 Example of filter drains and permeable surfaces.....	97
Plate 4-34 Indicative fencing locations	99
Plate 4-35 Example of earthwork bund.....	101
Plate 4-36 Indicative landscape mitigation plan	104
Plate 4-37 Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onward Cable Routing	107
Plate 4-38 Landfall Zone site context.....	120

Tables

Table 2-1 NPS Assessment Requirements31

Table 4-1 Overarching design principles59

Table 4-2 Onshore Converter Stations Maximum Constructed Parameters63

Table 4-3 Material advantages and disadvantages72

Table 4-4 Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onward Cable Routing Maximum
Constructed Parameters..... 111


Table 4-5 Indicative Hedgerow Species 116

Table 4-6 Indicative Woodland Species Mix 116

Table 4-7 Landfall Zone Onshore Maximum Constructed Parameters 120

Appendices

Appendix A Indicative Landscape Sections



Glossary


Term	Definition
Commitments Register	An Excel spreadsheet which identifies all of the Projects commitments and mitigation relating to each technical topic under consideration in the EIA process and where each commitment is secured in the DCO.
Concurrent Scenario	A potential construction scenario for the Projects where DBS East and DBS West are both constructed at the same time.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).
Development Scenario	Description of how the DBS East and / or DBS West Projects would be constructed either in isolation, sequentially or concurrently.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be assessed before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves the collection and consideration of environmental information, which fulfils the assessment requirements of the EIA Directive and EIA Regulations, including the publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).
Environmental Statement (ES)	A document reporting the findings of the EIA and produced in accordance with the EIA Directive as transposed into UK law by the EIA Regulations.
Expert Topic Group (ETG)	A forum for targeted engagement with regulators and interested stakeholders through the EPP.
Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA)	The process that determines whether or not a plan or project may have an adverse effect on the integrity of a European Site or European Offshore Marine Site.
Haul Road	The track along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor used by traffic to access different sections of the onshore export cable route for construction.

Term	Definition
High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC)	High voltage alternating current is the bulk transmission of electricity by alternating current (AC), whereby the flow of electric charge periodically reverses direction.
High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC)	High voltage direct current is the bulk transmission of electricity by direct current (DC), whereby the flow of electric charge is in one direction.
Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD)	HDD is a trenchless technique to bring the offshore cables ashore at the landfall and can be used for crossing other obstacles such as roads, railways and watercourses onshore.
In Isolation Scenario	A potential construction scenario for one Project which includes either the DBS East or DBS West array, associated offshore and onshore cabling and only the eastern Onshore Converter Station within the Onshore Substation Zone and only the northern route of the onward cable route to the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation.
Jointing Bays	Underground structures constructed at regular intervals along the onshore cable route to join sections of cable and facilitate installation of the cables into the buried ducts.
Landfall	The point on the coastline at which the Offshore Export Cables are brought onshore, connecting to the onshore cables at the Transition Joint Bay (TJB) above mean high water.
Landfall Zone	The generic term applied to the entire landfall area between Mean Low Water Spring (MLWS) and the Transition Joint Bays (TJBs) inclusive of all construction works, including the landfall compounds, Onshore Export Cable Corridor and intertidal working area including the Offshore Export Cables.
Landscape character	A distinct, recognisable and consistent pattern of elements in the landscape that makes one landscape different from another, rather than better or worse.

Term	Definition
National Policy Statement (NPS)	A document setting out national policy against which proposals for NSIPs will be assessed and decided upon.
Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP)	Large scale development including power generating stations which requires development consent under the Planning Act 2008. An offshore wind farm project with a capacity of more than 100 MW constitutes an NSIP.
Offshore Development Area	The Offshore Development Area for ES encompasses both the DBS East and West Array Areas, the Inter-Platform Cable Corridor, the Offshore Export Cable Corridor, plus the associated Construction Buffer Zones.
Offshore Export Cable Corridor	This is the area which will contain the offshore export cables (and potentially the ESP) between the Offshore Converter Platforms and Transition Joint Bays at the landfall.
Offshore Export Cables	The cables which would bring electricity from the offshore platforms to the Transition Joint Bays (TJBs).
Onshore Converter Stations	A compound containing electrical equipment required to transform HVDC and stabilise electricity generated by the Projects so that it can be connected to the electricity transmission network as HVAC. There will be one Onshore Converter Station for each Project.
Onshore Development Area	The Onshore Development Area for ES is the boundary within which all onshore infrastructure required for the Projects would be located including Landfall Zone, Onshore Export Cable Corridor, accesses, Temporary Construction Compounds and Onshore Converter Stations.
Onshore Export Cable Corridor	This is the area which includes cable trenches, haul roads, spoil storage areas, and limits of deviation for micro-siting. For assessment purposes, the cable corridor does not include the Onshore Converter Stations, Transition Joint Bays or temporary access routes; but includes Temporary Construction Compounds (purely for the cable route).

Term	Definition
Onshore Export Cables	Onshore Export Cables take the electric from the Transition Joint Bay to the Onshore Converter Stations.
Onshore Grid Connection Points	The Onshore Grid Connection Points is the location where the electricity produced by the Projects would be transferred to the national grid. There are two Onshore Grid Connection Points, one for each Project, which will be located in the same place.
Onshore Substation Zone	Parcel of land within the Onshore Development Area where the Onshore Converter Station infrastructure (including the haul roads, Temporary Construction Compounds and associated cable routeing) would be located.
Other trenchless techniques	Other techniques (aside from HDD) for installation of ducts or cables where trenching may not be suitable such as micro tunnelling or auger boring.
Planning Inspectorate (PINS)	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs).
Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)	Defined in the EIA Regulations as information referred to in part 1, Schedule 4 (information for inclusion in environmental statements) which has been compiled by the applicants and is reasonably required to assess the environmental effects of the development.
<u>Project Change Request 1</u>	<u>The changes to the DCO application for the Projects set out in Project Change Request 1 - Offshore & Intertidal Works [AS-141] which was accepted into Examination on 21st January 2025.</u>
<u>Project Change Request 2</u>	<u>The changes to the DCO application for the Projects set out in Project Change Request 2 - Onshore Substation Zone [AS-152] which was accepted into Examination on 21st January 2025.</u>

Term	Definition
Sequential Scenario	A potential construction scenario for the Projects where DBS East and DBS West are constructed with a lag between the commencement of construction activities. Either Project could be built first.
Temporary Construction Compound	An area set aside to facilitate construction of the Projects. These will be located adjacent to the Onshore Export Cable Corridor and within the Onshore Substation Zone, with access to the highway.
The Applicants	The Applicants for the Projects are RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited. The Applicants are themselves jointly owned by the RWE Group of companies (51% stake) and Masdar (49% stake).
The Projects	DBS East and DBS West (collectively referred to as the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms).
Transition Joint Bay (TJB)	The Transition Joint Bay (TJB) is an underground structure at the landfall that houses the joint between the offshore export cables and the onshore export cables.
Trenching	Open cut method for cable or duct installation.



Acronyms

Term	Definition
AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
BEIS	Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, now succeeded by the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero
BNG	Biodiversity Net Gain
DBS	Dogger Bank South
DC	Direct Current
DCO	Development Consent Order
ECC	Export Cable Corridor
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
GIS	Gas Insulated Switchgear
GLVIA3	Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, 3rd Edition (Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment, 2013)
GW	Gigawatt
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drill
HND	Holistic Network Design
HV	High Voltage
HVAC	High Voltage Alternative Current
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current

Term	Definition
IEMA	Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment
ILA	Important Landscape Area
LCA	Landscape Character Area
LCT	Landscape Character Type
LMP	Lighting Management Plan
LVIA	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment
MW	Megawatt
NCA	National Character Area
NCN	National Cycle Network
NE	Natural England
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPS	National Policy Statement
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project
NTS	Non-Technical Summary
OCoCP	Outline Code of Construction Practice
OCP	Offshore Converter Platform
OCTMP	Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan
OECC	Offshore Export Cable Corridor
OLEMP	Outline Landscape and Environment Management Plan
OS	Ordnance Survey

Term	Definition
OSP	Offshore Substation Platform
PEI	Preliminary Environmental Information
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
PINS	Planning Inspectorate
PRoW	Public Rights of Way
RHDHV	Royal HaskoningDHV
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SPA	Special Protection Area
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage System
TCC	Temporary Construction Compound
TCE	The Crown Estate
TJB	Transition Joint Bay
ToR	Terms of Reference
TS	Transport Statement
ZOI	Zone of Influence
ZTV	Zone of Theoretical Visibility

1 Design and Access Statement

1.1 Introduction

1. LUC has been commissioned by RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited to prepare a Design and Access Statement (DAS). The report has been prepared to support the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms (hereafter referred to as the Projects).

1.2 Purpose of Document

2. The Dogger Bank South DAS outlines a series of design principles and considerations for the proposed Onshore Development Area, which have been developed in response to information produced as part of the wider DCO application. The DAS addresses the Onshore Development Area of the Projects, which includes the Landfall, Onshore Export Cable Corridor, Substation Zone and Onward Cable Connection.
3. The DAS has also been updated to accommodate changes to the design at the Substation Zone included in the **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** document submitted into the DCO examination on 10th January 2025. The change was accepted by the Examining Authority (ExA) on January 21st 2025 in the **Rule 9 and 17 letter - Procedural Decision and Request for further information dated 21 January 2025** [PD-012] letter and this document has been updated to reflect that change.
4. The seven overarching design principles (Plate 1.1) have been developed to ensure the Projects respond positively to people, place and nature. This will be achieved through a balance of the technical requirements, landscape enhancements, visual mitigation and a flexible approach. The design principles have informed the design development to date. They are referenced throughout the DAS, and will inform the detailed design stage to ensure the Projects are sensitively integrated into the landscape, enhance the landscape functions and quality, and mitigate visual impacts, whilst allowing flexibility to respond to emerging technical requirements. The overarching principles include:




Plate 1-1 Overview of the DBS design principles

5. This document also represents the important role consultation has played and the various ways in which it has influenced the indicative layout and design of the Projects. Site analysis, technical details and design principles within the DAS are supported by the wide range of technical documents that have been created as part of the DCO application. These documents include, but are not limited to:

- **Volume 7, Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives (application ref: 7.4);**
- **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref; 7.5);**
- **Volume 7, Chapter 18 Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology (application ref: 7.18);**
- **Volume 7, Chapter 20 Flood Risk and Hydrology (application ref; 7.20);**
- **Volume 7, Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage (application ref: 7.22);**
- **Volume 7, Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (application ref: 7.23);**
- **Outline Landscape Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.11);**

- **Commitments Register (Volume 8, application ref: 8.6); and**
 - **Volume 3, Draft Development consent Order (DCO) (application ref: 3.1).**
6. The design principles and considerations have also been developed in response to current policy and guidance including National Infrastructure Commission's Design Principles for National Infrastructure, and the National Policy Statements (NPS) for Energy (EN-1), the NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) and the NPS for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5). These were published in November 2023 and were designated in January 2024. The DAS has also been updated during the DCO examination to respond to the newly developed Planning Inspectorates (PINS) guidance on 'Good Design' published in October 2024.
 7. Offshore has been scoped out of the DAS as the Array Areas would not be visible from the shore. The DAS also focuses primarily on the operational phase of the Projects considering how design and embedded mitigation measures have influenced the route selection and good design response at the Landfall, Onshore Export Cable Corridor, the Substation Zone and Onward Cable Connection. Elements of the construction have been referenced where the chosen construction technique supports the design and embedded mitigation of the Onshore Development Area. The construction and operational phases are described in more detail in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)** and the **Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Volume 8, application ref: 8.9)**.
 8. The visualisations, drawings and photographs used throughout this report to represent the proposed design principles and considerations, are intended to be illustrative and do not represent the final design scenarios. Final detailed design will be developed through consultation and collaboration with relevant stakeholders asset out in section 5.2 using the design principles as a framework to achieve good design, whilst actively responding to the detailed design requirements.

1.3 Delivering Good Design

1.3.1 What is Good Design?

9. As stated in the PINS guidance on Good Design for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP), *'Good design is crucial for achieving excellent functionality, sustainability, positive place-making and resilience in NSIPs'*. It also notes *'Achieving high quality, good design outcomes requires an effective, intentional, transparent, deliverable process to be planned, followed and secured. Success in good design comes from a combination of securing both good process and good outcomes'*.
10. Good design provides a wide variety of benefits when delivering a project, which include:
 - Problem solving through innovative ideas;
 - Enhances existing conditions and improves visual quality;
 - Promotes sustainability;
 - Mitigates potential impacts and improves climate resilience; and
 - Creates long lasting and efficient infrastructure.
11. Delivering good design will ensure that the Projects are:
 - Functional;
 - Unobtrusive; and
 - Robust.

1.3.2 Sustainable Design

12. The Applicants are aiming to strike a balance between commercial, engineering, environmental and social considerations in the development of the Projects to achieve a sustainable and climate resilient design. The Environmental Statement (ES) provides more detail about the assessments and surveys which have been undertaken to identify any potential environmental, social and economic effects, and the measures proposed to mitigate them.
13. One of the principal purposes of the Projects is to make a contribution to mitigating climate change by generating renewable electricity, therefore helping decarbonise the United Kingdom's (UK) grid. The design lifetime of the Projects is expected to be 32 years and will represent a significant contribution towards the UK's net zero targets over the majority of the lifetime of the Projects.


14. Projects will be designed in accordance with the Applicants' technical requirements and specifications, which are based on industry-leading engineering codes and standards in the offshore wind sector. The design will prioritise resilience against hazards posed by existing extreme weather events and climate conditions. Additionally, where relevant, the design will incorporate adaptations to address future impacts of climate change.
15. The Applicants are committed to adopting a circularity framework which has three core circular principles, namely:
 - Reducing consumption & increasing inflow of circular materials;
 - Enhancing material (re)use and lifetime; and
 - Minimising end-of-life treatment.
16. The construction, operation and decommissioning of the Projects, will create Green House Gas Emissions (GHG), but there are also carbon benefits of the Projects which results in the Projects being overwhelmingly carbon positive. Repowering may be considered at or near the end of the design life, once all scenarios for maintenance and repair are carried out. Repowering would be subject to a separate application.
17. Project-level GHG mitigation is being incorporated into the design development process for the Projects wherever it is practicable to do so. At each stage of the design, steps will be taken to determine the climate change impact of the offshore wind farms, providing a better understanding of which measures will be effective in reducing it. Through this process the Projects will reduce GHG emissions associated with the offshore foundation structures, which will be optimised with the aim of minimising steel mass. The Applicants also seek to adopt recent advances in technology where possible on the Projects, such as the use of recycled materials in wind turbines.
18. The Applicants have undertaken a detailed GHG Assessment outlined in **Volume 7, Chapter 30 Climate Change (application ref: 7.30)** that provides a quantified assessment of greenhouse gas emissions over the lifetime of the Projects. The assessment considered emissions from the extraction and manufacture of materials, marine vessel and road traffic movements, and the use of plant and equipment.

19. Whilst the Projects will produce some GHG emissions, mainly during the construction phase, overall, the GHG assessment calculated the potential for the avoided emissions by replacing electricity that would have been generated from natural gas. Avoided emissions were estimated to be approximately 91.8 million and 183.4 million tonnes for the In Isolation and Sequential Scenarios respectively, resulting in a beneficial effect.

1.3.3 Consultation

1.3.3.1 Pre-Application Consultation

20. Undertaking consultation is another core part of achieving good design. This ensures that stakeholder opinions have been heard and independent professionals can provide advice on a variety of topics.
21. The Applicants have conducted a comprehensive and transparent pre-application consultation in relation to the EIA process, with a wide range of stakeholders and Expert Topic Group (ETG) consultees.
22. An overview of the consultation process can be found in section 7.3.1 of **Volume 7, Chapter 7 Consultation (application ref: 7.7)**. A summary of technical consultation responses and their consideration by the Projects where relevant are given in each technical chapter of the ES.
23. Consultation feedback has informed site selection and design principles. A summary of the key design decisions is included in section 4.4 of **Volume 7, Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives (application ref: 7.4)**. This is also outlined in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**. Further detail on engagement with stakeholders and statutory and non-statutory consultation can be found in the **Volume 5, Consultation Report (application ref: 5.1)**. Section 6.11 also summarises what was changed as a result of statutory consultation and is summarised in section 1.3.4, below.



1.3.3.2 Non-statutory targeted consultation on Project Change Request 2 - Onshore Substation Zone

24. Following the submission of the DCO application, the Applicants received a grid connection offer from National Grid on the 28th of June 2024. Further design iteration, to accommodate this grid connection offer, along with ongoing supply chain engagement provided further certainty on the size of Onshore Converter Station(s) required. This resulted in the Applicants deciding to seek a change to their DCO application to reduce the Onshore Order Limits and footprint of the permanent infrastructure within the Onshore Substation Zone. The proposed change was in line with stakeholder comments and subsequent engagement with Interested Parties undertaken in the pre-examination period. The proposed change also addresses stakeholder concerns about the size of the Onshore Converter Station(s) and impacts on local farming businesses raised in relevant representations or through further discussion post submission of the DCO.
25. A non-statutory targeted consultation on the proposed changes, which also included some revisions to the design offshore (**Project Change Request 1 – Offshore and Intertidal Works (document reference 10.49)**) was undertaken from 15th November – 16th December 2024. Full details of the consultation responses onshore are included in the **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** document submitted into the DCO examination on the 10th January 2025.
26. A total of seven consultation responses were received following conclusion of the non-statutory consultation period. The Applicants reviewed each comment and provided individual responses to each (detailed in section 5.6 of the **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)**). In summary, stakeholders broadly welcomed the proposed changes to the Onshore Development Area. This was also supported by the discussions held with key People with an Interest in the Land (PiLs) during the consultation period. No amendments or changes were made to Project Change Request 2 as a result of the consultation.

27. In relation to the historic environment, Historic England responded positively to the proposed reduction in Onshore Converter Station(s) footprint, noting that the reduced scale of the Onshore Converter Station(s) *'will lead to a reduced potential for effects on buried archaeology and a reduced visual impact when seen from the World War II anti-aircraft gun site at Butt Farm'*. However, Historic England expressed ongoing concern about the residual harm level to the significance of this designated site. The Applicants are continuing to work with Historic England to develop proposals for potential enhancement measures at the Butt Farm WWII Heavy Anti-aircraft gunsite, that respond to its historic value. In addition, the Applicants will ensure that during the detailed design phase of the Project, particular consideration will be given to the Butt Farm WWII Heavy Anti-aircraft gunsite setting.
28. The East Riding of Yorkshire Council expressed concerns about the uniform nature of the SuDs design. The Applicants confirmed a more detailed SuDs design will be agreed at the detailed design stage, in addition an indicative and illustrative SuDs design has been added to section 4.1 and a commitment to a 'landscape led approach' included in the **Outline Landscape Management Plan (OLMP) (Volume 8, application ref: 8.11)** and **Outline Drainage Strategy (Volume 8, application ref: 8.12)**.
29. Overall, the proposed change was considered broadly positive and would result in a reduction in environmental impacts compared to those presented in the ES at the point of submission, for certain topics. However, the positive impacts were not considered significant enough to result in any new or different likely significant environmental effects.
30. The change was accepted by the Examining Authority (ExA) on January 21st 2025 in the **Rule 9 and 17 letter - Procedural Decision and Request for further information dated 21 January 2025** [PD-012] letter and this document has been updated to reflect that change.

1.3.4 Summary of Design Vision & Key Decisions

31. The principles and considerations in the DAS have been developed in an iterative way, in response to the developing understanding of the site and technical requirements, most recently through updating the **Outline Landscape Management Plan (OLMP) (Volume 8, application ref: 8.11)** to respond to the updated site layout at the Substation Zone detailed in **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** and captured in this second revision of the DAS.

32. The design development will conclude at the detailed design stage, which will use the design principles outlined in this document as a baseline requirement to achieve good design, resulting in a sustainable and resilient scheme. A representation of the principles in action can be found in section 4. The proposed design considerations retain flexibility while the technical proposals are finalised.
33. The design vision has the following key aims:
- To mitigate the effects of construction of the Projects through coordinated design and responsive construction.
 - To ensure that the site will be well integrated into the surrounding landscape providing visual mitigation and avoiding sensitive features
 - To ensure that the Projects minimise environmental impacts while enhancing sustainability and biodiversity through landscape restoration, wherever possible.
 - To retain adaptability when responding to the developing parameters at the detailed design stage.
 - To continue consultation with the local authority at the detailed design stage, fostering engagement and inclusivity.
34. A summary of the most salient design decisions that have been made by the Applicants relating to the Onshore works are summarised below:
- Site selection that avoids areas with substantial infrastructure, identified in local plans for housing, existing built up areas, including residential areas, coastal defences, recreation spaces, other energy infrastructure;
 - Site selection that minimises ecological impacts and avoids disturbances to mature and historic woodlands, significant hedgerows and internationally and nationally designated areas, where possible;
 - Landfall Zone selected to avoid areas with a cliff height over 20m and impacts on the Withow Gap SSSI and Holderness Inshore Marine Conservation Area;
 - Undergrounded Onshore Cables to reduce above ground infrastructure;
 - Ensuring the cable route is as straight and as short as practicable – avoiding tight bends;
 - Coordinating the development of the Projects through utilising one Cable Corridor and sharing a Haul Road during construction;

- Implemented trenchless crossings to avoid disturbance to sensitive locations and transport networks;
 - Reduced working widths along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor following selection of HVDC electrical transmission technology;
 - Committing to reinstating the majority of land between Jointing Bays within two years;
 - Co-locating two Onshore Converter Stations within the same Zone to keep infrastructure together and reduce the overall visual impacts;
 - Reducing the footprints of the co-located Onshore Converter Stations to minimise land take following the acceptance of **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** in January 2025.
 - Using the reduced Onshore Converter Station footprint requirements identified post DCO submission to optimise the layout of the Onshore Substation Zone and remove the need for the diversion of a Yorkshire Water Main that traverses the site providing embedded carbon savings and reduced environmental disturbance effects;
 - Providing a landscape led design for the SuDs features as set out in section 4.1.3.9;
 - Restoring landscape and vegetation within the Onshore Development Area, where possible; and
 - Provide on-site and off-site measures to deliver no net loss and net gain, where possible.
35. The siting, design and refinement of the Projects' offshore and onshore infrastructure has followed a detailed site selection process, taking into account environmental, physical, technical, commercial and social considerations and opportunities, as well as engineering requirements. The aim was to identify locations that would be environmentally acceptable, deliverable and c , whilst also being economic and efficient.



Plate 1-2 Overview of the DBS site selection process

36. The site layout has now been updated in **Volume 7, Appendix 5-3 - Engineering Drawings (application ref: 7.5.5.3)** to reduce the Onshore Converter Station footprints, which was included in the **Project Change Request 2 - Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** document submitted into the DCO examination on the 10th January 2025 and accepted on the 21st January 2025.

1.4 Project Overview

37. A description of the key components of the Projects and details of how they will be constructed, operated, maintained and decommissioned is provided in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**. This should be read in conjunction with the **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** document which details those elements that have been updated in relation to the accepted change. It should be noted this DAS has been fully updated to account for the Project Change Request 2.
38. The Projects comprise of two separate offshore wind farms, DBS East and DBS West. When operational, DBS East and DBS West combined would have the potential to generate renewable power for up to 3 million UK homes. Between 113 to 200 wind turbines would be installed across both Projects.



Plate 1-3 Onshore and Offshore development areas

39. The DBS West and DBS East Array Areas are located in a shallow offshore area of the North Sea known as Dogger Bank, situated at a minimum of 100km and 122km from the northeast coast of England, respectively. The proposed Onshore Export Cables as shown in **Plate 1-3**, will make Landfall on the East Riding of Yorkshire coastline near Skipsea.
40. The proposed Onshore Export Cables as shown in, will make Landfall on the East Riding of Yorkshire coastline near Skipsea. The Onshore Export Cable Corridor will then run to the two newly constructed Onshore Converter Stations, near the hamlet of Bentley to the south of Beverley, before routing to a proposed new Birkhill Wood National Grid substation (hereafter referred to as the National Grid Substation) near Creyke Beck Substation via the Onward Cable connection.

41. The main onshore components of the Projects as shown in **Plate 1-4**, will include:
- Landfall and associated Transition Joint Bays (TJBs) which are used to connect the onshore and offshore cables at the landfall, located within the Landfall Zone;
 - Onshore Export Cables installed underground from TJBs at the landfall to the Projects' Onshore Converter Stations, located in the Substation Zone;
 - Onward 400 kilovolt (kV) connection to the National Grid Substation; and
 - Onshore Converter Stations (up to two).




Plate 1-4 DBS East and DBS West Overview Schematic (N.B. Not to Scale)

42. The proposals outlined in this DAS are indicative, but they are based on the maximum parameters which would occur as a result of the maximum land take, longest durations of operation and maximum height / size of development. As noted above, the maximum parameters have been updated to reflect the changes accepted by the ExA in **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)**.
43. Responding to the maximum parameters ensures that the delivered Projects will provide good design, mitigation and enhancements where possible.

1.4.1 Project Development Scenarios

44. As set out in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**, a single DCO application is being made for both Projects to provide for consistency in the approach to the assessment, consultation and examination. Therefore, the DCO application seeks to consent a range of development scenarios. These include:
- In Isolation - where only DBS East or DBS West is constructed;
 - Sequential - where DBS East or DBS West are both constructed in a phased approach with either DBS East or DBS West being constructed first; or
 - Concurrent - where DBS East and DBS West are both constructed at the same time.
45. If the Projects are built out using a phased approach, there would also be a phased approach to starting the operational stage. The operational lifetime of the Projects is expected to be 32 years.
46. Whilst DBS East and DBS West are the subject of a single DCO application (with a combined Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process and associated submissions), the assessments consider both Projects being developed in isolation, sequentially and concurrently, so that mitigation is specific to each development scenario.
47. As discussed in section 1.3.4, during concurrent and sequential development scenarios there have been a number of design considerations and collaborations during the development of the two Projects to deliver good and sustainable design in an efficient way. These include optimising construction logistics, sharing temporary works and Temporary Construction Compounds. As noted above, the site layout was updated to reduce the Onshore Converter station footprints, which was included in the **Project Change Request 2 (document reference 10.53)** document submitted into the DCO examination on 10th January 2025 and accepted on the 21st January 2025. This will reduce the overall environmental impact and any potential disruption to local communities.


2 Guidance and Policies

2.1 National Policies and Guidance

2.1.1 National Policy Statements for Energy Infrastructure (NPS, 2024)

2.1.1.1 Overarching NPS for Energy, EN-1 (2024)

48. Section 4.7 of EN-1 identifies the criteria for 'Good Design' for energy infrastructure. The key policy requirements and considerations have been summarised and include:



- 'The visual appearance of a building, structure, or piece of infrastructure, and how it relates to the landscape it sits within, is sometimes considered to be the most important factor in good design. But high quality and inclusive design goes far beyond aesthetic considerations. The functionality of an object – be it a building or other type of infrastructure – including fitness for purpose and sustainability, is equally important'.

- 'Applying good design to energy projects should produce sustainable infrastructure sensitive to place, including impacts on heritage, efficient in the use of

natural resources, including land-use, and energy used in their construction and operation, matched by an appearance that demonstrates good aesthetic as far as possible. It is acknowledged, however that the nature of energy infrastructure development will often limit the extent to which it can contribute to the enhancement of the quality of the area'.

- 'Whilst the applicant may not have any or very limited choice in the physical appearance of some energy infrastructure, there may be opportunities for the applicant to demonstrate good design in terms of siting relative to existing landscape character, land form and vegetation'.
- 'Given the benefits of good design in mitigating the adverse impacts of a project, applicants should consider how good design can be applied to a project during the early stages of the project lifecycle'.
- 'Design principles should be established from the outset of the project to guide the development from conception to operation. Applicants should consider how their design principles can be applied post-consent'.

- *'To ensure good design is embedded within the project development, a project board level design champion could be appointed, and a representative design panel used to maximise the value provided by the infrastructure'.*
- *'Applicants should also, so far as is possible, seek to embed opportunities for nature inclusive design within the design process'.*
- *'Applicants must demonstrate in their application documents how the design process was conducted and how the proposed design evolved. Where a number of different designs were considered, applicants should set out the reasons why the favoured choice has been selected'.*
- *'Applicants should also consider taking independent professional advice on the design. In particular, the Design Council can be asked to provide design review for nationally significant infrastructure projects'.*
- *'In the light of the above and given the importance which the Planning Act 2008 places on good design and sustainability, the Secretary of State needs to be satisfied that energy infrastructure developments are sustainable and, having regard to regulatory and other constraints, are as attractive, durable, and adaptable (including taking account of natural hazards such as flooding) as they can be'.*
- *'In doing so, the Secretary of State should be satisfied that the applicant has considered both functionality (including fitness for purpose and sustainability) and aesthetics (including its contribution to the quality of the area in which it would be located, any potential amenity benefits, and visual impacts on the landscape or seascape) as far as possible'.*

2.1.1.2 NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure, EN-3 (2024)

49. Section 3.5 outlines some of the considerations of good design for energy infrastructure. Paragraph 3.5.2 states *'Proposals for renewable energy infrastructure should demonstrate good design, particularly in respect of landscape and visual amenity, opportunities for co-existence/co-location with other marine uses, and in the design of the project to mitigate impacts such as noise and effects on ecology and heritage.'*
50. Paragraphs 3.7.61 also states *'Good design that is sympathetic and contributes positively to the landscape character and quality of the area will go some way to mitigate adverse landscape and visual effects.'*

2.1.1.3 NPS for Electricity Networks Infrastructure, EN-5 (2024)

51. Paragraph 2.4.3 acknowledges that *'electricity networks infrastructure must in the first instance be safe and secure, and that the functional design constraints of safety and security may limit an applicant's ability to influence the aesthetic appearance of that infrastructure'*. As such good design opportunities for such infrastructure should be maximised (including through avoiding/mitigating potential adverse impacts) these should not threaten the functional performance of the infrastructure in respect of security of supply and public and occupational safety.
52. The following table outlines how the NPS requirements have been responded to in the DAS.

Table 2-1 NPS Assessment Requirements

NPS Requirement	NPS Reference	ES Response
EN-1 NPS for Energy (2024)		
Section 4.7 sets out criteria for good design for energy infrastructure. It notes that the visual appearance of energy infrastructure and how it relates to the landscape is often considered to be the most importance factor in good design.	Paragraph 4.7.1 of NPS EN-1	The DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) sets out principles for good design and provides examples for how this will be applied to all elements of the Projects, and what the outcomes of this design process may look like.
The Secretary of State should consider whether the project has been designed carefully, taking account of environmental effects on the landscape and siting, operational and other relevant constraints, to minimise harm to the landscape, including by appropriate mitigation.	Paragraph 5.10.37 of NPS EN-1	The DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) outlines the various site selection optioneering, mitigation measures and other design principles that have been considered to ensure the project minimises any adverse effects.

NPS Requirement	NPS Reference	ES Response
The Secretary of State would have to judge whether the visual effects on sensitive receptors, such as local residents, and other receptors, such as visitors to the local area, outweigh the benefits of the project.	Paragraph 5.10.14 of NPS EN-1	Section 4 of the DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) considers various design principles and considerations that can be addressed to mitigate the visual impact of the Projects.
The Secretary of State should consider the benefits of the landscape and visual mitigation against the functionality of the project.	Paragraph 5.10.26 of NPS EN-1	Section 4 of the DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) has outlined the various design principles and considerations that will be addressed to mitigate the visual impact of the Projects.
Adverse landscape and visual effects may be minimised through appropriate siting of infrastructure within its development site and wider setting. The careful consideration of colours and materials would support the delivery of a well-designed scheme, as would sympathetic landscaping and management of its immediate surroundings.	Paragraph 5.10.27 of NPS EN-1	Section 3 and section 4 of the DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) outline the various site selection and optioneering considerations for Landfall, Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onshore Converter Stations. Sections 4.1.3.2, 4.1.3.3 and 4.1.3.4 of the DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) consider from the ways in which form, colour and materials can be utilised to mitigate the visual impact of the Projects.
The assessment should also address the landscape and visual effects of noise and light pollution, and other emissions, from construction and operational activities on residential amenity and on sensitive locations, receptors and views, how these would be minimised.	Paragraph 5.10.22 of NPS EN-1	Sections 4.1.3.7 and 4.1.3.11 of the DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) consider the impacts and mitigation measure of light and noise pollution.

NPS Requirement	NPS Reference	ES Response
EN-3 NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (2024)		
Proposals for renewable energy infrastructure should demonstrate good design, particularly in respect of landscape and visual amenity, opportunities for co-existence/co-location with other marine and terrestrial uses, and in the design of the project to mitigate impacts such as noise and effects on ecology and heritage.	Paragraph 2.5.2 of NPS EN-3	Section 3 and section 4 of the DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) outline the various site selection and optioneering considerations for Landfall, Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onshore Converter Stations.
Good design that is sympathetic and contributes positively to the landscape character and quality of the area will go some way to mitigate adverse landscape and visual effects.	Paragraph 2.7.60 of NPS EN-3	Section 3 and section 4 of the DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) outlines the various site selection and optioneering considerations for Landfall, Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onshore Converter Stations. Section 4 of the DAS (Volume 8, application ref: 8.8) considers various design principles and considerations that can be addressed to mitigate the visual impact of the Projects.
EN-5 NPS for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (2024)		
Electricity networks infrastructure must in the first instance be safe and secure, and that the functional design constraints of safety and security may limit an applicant's ability to influence the aesthetic appearance of that infrastructure.	Paragraph 2.4.3 of NPS EN-5	Sections 4.1.3.1 and 4.1.3.2 cover the likely function, layout and built form of the Onshore Converter Stations. Sections 4.1.3.6 and 4.1.3.10 consider the site access and boundary treatments to ensure safety, security and also a sympathetic aesthetic.

2.1.2 National Infrastructure Commissions Design Principles for National Infrastructure (NIC, 2020)

53. One of the most prominent guidance documents is the National Infrastructure Commission's (NIC) Design Principles for National Infrastructure, 2020. The NIC outlines four key design principles, that should be key considerations throughout the design process of any large infrastructure project to shape a positive future for design in the UK. These are:

- **Climate:** Mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to climate change;
- **People:** Reflect what society wants and share benefits widely;
- **Places:** Provide a sense of identity and improve our environment; and
- **Value:** Achieve multiple benefits and solve problems well.



Plate 2-1 NIC key design principles

2.1.3 IEMA Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to Delivering Quality Development (IEMA, 2016)

54. This guide advises on the delivery of mitigation associated with new development, so that efforts at the design and pre-application stage to develop mitigation are realised at the implementation and management stages. The guide outlines three key principles, which include:




- **Principle 1** - Pro-active collaboration with stakeholders, both internally within the project team and externally.
- **Principle 2** - Present mitigation in a manner that generates buy-in and helps ensure transfer to mechanisms for delivery.
- **Principle 3** - Establish an effective change management process to ensure that mitigation set out in the initial consenting documentation is kept relevant.

55. The guide also states that the design and incorporation of mitigation measures should be an iterative process, continuing beyond the pre-application process.

2.1.4 National Infrastructure Strategy (NIS, 2020)

56. The National Infrastructure Strategy sets out guidance for the delivery, design and funding of infrastructure projects. The NIS *'sets out the government's plans to transform its approach to infrastructure policy and delivery, to meet both the short- and long-term challenges facing the UK'*.
57. The NIS sets out three methods for embedding good design that reflect the NIC design principles. These are:



- Local plans which set clear rules rather than general policies for development, so that quality cannot be negotiated away, nor can the lived experience of the consumer be ignored too readily;
- A reformed planning system which brings forward a new focus on design and sustainability in national policy and practice, building on the National Design Guide; and
- Requiring all infrastructure projects to have a board level design champion in place by the end of 2021 at either the project, programme or organisational level, supported where appropriate by design panels.

2.1.5 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, 2023)

58. The NPPF states, in paragraph 180, that *'Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment ... by protecting and enhancing valued landscapes ... recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, and the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services ... minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity'*.

2.1.6 Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Good Design (Planning Inspectorate, 2024)

59. The newly developed guidance on 'Good Design' for NSIPs by PINS creates a framework that emphasises the importance of good design in achieving a sustainable development, positive place-making, and long-term resilience. It outlines the processes and standards that applicants must follow, from pre-application stages through to post-consent implementation, promoting good design, stakeholder engagement, and rigorous environmental assessments. By adhering to these guidelines, infrastructure projects can achieve high-quality outcomes that meet the needs of both present and future generations. This was published in October 2024 and therefore was not possible to incorporate into the DAS submitted in June 2024. Key framework topics include:

2.1.6.1 Definitions and Achieving Good Design

60. Good design is defined by principles such as functionality, durability, and aesthetic appeal. The guidance states '*Success in good design comes from a combination of securing both good process and good outcomes*'.
61. The process for achieving good design includes stakeholder engagement, environmental impact assessments (EIA), and clear explanations of design decisions. This process ensures that design considerations are integrated throughout the project lifecycle.

2.1.6.2 Good Design Process and Outcomes:

62. The guidance emphasizes the importance of a rigorous design process to achieve good outcomes. This includes setting clear design expectations, effective engagement with stakeholders, and a focus on sustainability and functionality.

2.1.6.3 Assemble, Research, Co-ordinate, and Secure

63. These four topics should be evidenced by the applicants:
- **Assemble:** Define the project, timeline, and gather a multi-disciplinary team to develop a vision that goes beyond technical solutions and policy compliance.
 - **Research:** Analyse the constraints and opportunities, develop mitigations for adverse effects, and engage with stakeholders to inform the design evolution.
 - **Co-ordinate:** Refine details and parameters based on consultation and feedback to ensure design principles are met.

- **Secure:** Ensure the project's good design is secured and delivered, with ongoing design advice, engagement, and clarity on post-consent decision-making.

2.1.6.4 Design Principles, Parameters, and Codes

64. Projects must adhere to specific design principles and parameters set out in NPS. These principles guide the design process and ensure that projects meet the required standards.

2.2 Local Policies and Guidance

2.2.1 The East Riding of Yorkshire Local Plan 2012-2029: Strategy Document (East Riding of Yorkshire Council, 2016)

65. This document sets out the overall strategic direction for the Local Plan and provides strategic policies to guide decisions on planning applications. The policy relevant to the DAS is Policy ENV2: Promoting a high-quality landscape.
66. The Draft East Riding Local Plan Update 2020-2039 (East Riding of Yorkshire Council, 2021) updates the existing Local Plan to reflect changes in the NPPF. To ensure consistency with the NPPF, Policy ENV2: Promoting a high quality landscape has been amended to ensure that proposals are compatible with the landscape character of an area.
67. This revised policy states: *'Development proposals should be sensitively integrated into the existing landscape, demonstrate an understanding of the intrinsic qualities of the landscape setting and, where possible, seek to make the most of the opportunities to protect and enhance landscape characteristics and features. To achieve this, development should:*
- *Protect and enhance views across valued landscape features including flood meadows, chalk grassland, lowland heath, mudflats and salt marsh, sand dunes and chalk cliffs;*
 - *Protect and enhance the undeveloped coast; and*
 - *Proposals should protect and enhance existing landscape character in the East Riding Landscape Character'.*

2.2.2 East Riding Design Code (ERDC, 2023)

68. The ERDC has been created to cover a wide range of development typologies. It seeks to inform best design practices to improve the quality of new developments.

69. The first relevant typology is the countryside. The ERDC states that *'The countryside and its rural hamlets are distinctive features of the East Riding. Their working landscape and agricultural context create a sense of pride and indicate productivity and prosperity'*.
70. Aspirations for countryside developments include:
- *Any new building or group will be well integrated into the wider landscape setting;*
 - *A development scale that reflects the context and does not detract from views across the countryside; and*
 - *Retention and enhancement of existing landscape and natural assets.*
71. The second relevant typology is business, non-central retail and industrial. The ERDC states that *'business, non-central retail and industrial are most often contained within the countryside or settlement fringes ... The countryside setting is a significant characteristic, benefiting from a rural landscape and creating links with agricultural history'*.
72. Aspirations for business, non-central retail and industrial developments include:
- *Sustainably located;*
 - *Of a scale and materiality that is appropriate to its context;*
 - *High quality hard and soft landscape environment with significant boundary planting;*
 - *Biodiversity within the landscape environment through the selection of native species, integration of SuDS and creation of diverse habitats; and*
 - *Integration of renewable energy solutions.*

3 Site Context


73. The Onshore Development Area includes the Landfall Zone, Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onshore Converter Stations, which are located in the Substation Zone. The Onshore Cable will pass through all of these zones before connecting to the Proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation via the Onward Cable Connection.
74. An extensive review of the wider site context of the Onshore Development Area, including topics such as landscape, flood risks, terrestrial ecology and ornithology and the historic environments, was undertaken to provide an evidence base for the Onshore site selection. This was reviewed over a number of stages as the Onshore Development Area sought to avoid settlements, sensitive habitats, historically significant sites and has taken into account other technical and environmental constraints. Further information of the process can be found in **Volume 7, Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives (application ref: 7.4)**.
75. The wider site context also influences the appropriate selection of design principles and considerations, as presented in section 4. For example, elements such as the planting or earthworks need to reflect the existing local context, conditions and structure to ensure that new planting integrates the site into the landscape and does not create an additional visual impact.

3.1 Landscape


3.1.1 National Landscape Character

76. The Landfall Zone, the Onshore Export Cable Corridor and the Onshore Converter Stations are all located within National Character Area (NCA) 40 Holderness. The Onshore Converter Stations are located at the transition between NCA27 Yorkshire Wolds to the west and NCA40 Holderness to the east as shown in **Plate 3-1**. This has been taken into account in the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) set out in **Volume 7, Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (application ref: 7.23)**.

Plate 3-1 National Character Area mapping with site boundary highlighted




77. The Holderness key characteristics to consider includes:
- Broad, low-lying plain with few hills, bounded by the curving chalk escarpment of the Yorkshire Wolds and Flamborough Head to the west and north respectively;
 - The fertile floodplain of the River Hull is important for agriculture, exhibiting large scale field patterns and linear drainage channels;
 - Both arable and livestock farming occur as dominant industries, with farmland interspersed by occasional tree cover in the form of shelter belts and hedgerows;
 - Settlements are generally dispersed, traditional style villages linked by a mesh of minor roads; and
 - Panoramic views offered as a result of the gentle topography.



3.1.2 Local Landscape Character

78. The landfall lies within Landscape Character Area (LCA) 20C Bridlington to Hornsea Coast, which is part of the Coastal Farmland Landscape Character Type (LCT) as identified in the East Riding of Yorkshire Landscape Character Assessment (2018).
79. Key characteristics to consider include:
- Flat to gently undulating topography sloping gently eastwards;
 - Boulder clay cliffs eroding into the sea;
 - Seaside resorts of Bridlington, Hornsea and Withernsea;
 - Coastal static caravan parks are prominent;
 - Limited tree cover due to exposed windswept coastal landscape;
 - Smaller villages and farmsteads and minor roads threatened by erosion;
 - Fragments of historic field pattern around villages and hamlets;
 - Tourism development along the coast; and
 - Large scale turbine development visible within the landscape, both within this LCT and within adjoining LCTs.
80. The Cable Corridor passes through a number of LCTs as shown in **Plate 3-2**, these include:
- 13 - Open High Rolling Farmland;
 - 16 - Sloping Farmland (Edge of Wolds);
 - 17 - Farmed Urban Fringe;
 - 18 - Low Lying Drained Farmland;
 - 19 - Open Farmland; and
 - 20 - Coastal Farmland.







Plate 3-2 East Riding of Yorkshire landscape character areas

81. The relevant characteristics of these areas are outlined in **Table 23-19** of **Volume 7, Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (application ref: 7.23)**.
82. The Onshore Substation Zone is located within LCT 16 - Sloping Farmland, and more locally situated within LCA 16F - Beverley Parks Farmland.
83. Key characteristics to consider include:
 - Gently rolling landform sloping gradually down to the east;

- Intermittent scattered woodland blocks throughout and hedgerow in places;
 - Intensively farmed rectilinear arable fields of large to medium size interspersed with less regular early enclosure fields particularly around villages;
 - A number of turbine developments within the landscape with others visible beyond. Pylons are also a dominant visual feature in the landscape; and
 - The under lying solid geology is chalk from the Cretaceous period.
84. There are a number of people (visual receptors) that have the potential to be affected temporarily by the construction of the Landfall, Onshore Export Cable and Onshore Substation Zone, and also due to the visual impact of the Onshore Converter Stations.
85. Key types of visual receptors to consider include :
- Residential and community receptors in nearby settlements;
 - Recreational users of the landscape (residents or visitors);
 - Workers operating in nearby industry; and
 - Travelling receptors who may be passing through the area by road.
86. There will likely be visual receptors of the Onshore Substation Zone across the wider surrounding area, due to the scale of the proposed Converter Stations. Further detail on the variety of key visual receptors for each area outlined in **sections 23.5.3 and 23.5.4 of Volume 7, Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (application ref: 7.23)**. Nationally, valued landscapes are recognised by designation, which may have a formal statutory basis that varies in different parts of the UK. In England, National Parks and AONBs (the latter recently renamed as National Landscapes) have the highest status of protection for landscape and scenic beauty. There are no statutory landscape designations (National Parks or AONBs) within or near to the Onshore Development Area, therefore no additional mitigation is required for the Projects.
87. Local authorities also identify locally valued landscapes and recognise them through local designations of various types. As with national designations, the criteria that underpin them vary and so it is important to consider the relevant reasons for the designation.

88. The Onshore Substation Zone and a small section of the Onshore Cable Corridor are within the Yorkshire Wolds Important Landscape Area (ILA), as shown in **Plate 3-3**. The landscape of the Yorkshire Wolds ILA comprises gently rolling agricultural land which rises in the form of a prominent chalk escarpment in the west and drops away to the plain of Holderness in the east.
89. Paragraph 8.33 of the Local Plan notes that within the Yorkshire Wolds ILA the areas of the highest quality are “*on the western scarp slope and around Sledmere*”. This area is over 24km from the Onshore Converter. Further information is covered in **Volume 7, Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (application ref: 7.23)**.






Plate 3-3 Landscape and designation site context

3.2 Flood Risks

90. Large areas of the East Riding of Yorkshire are defended against fluvial and coastal flooding. As such, much of the flood risk posed to the area is residual, as a result of flood events exceeding the standard of protection afforded by; the defences; defence or pumping failure; or flooding behind defences due to local runoff or groundwater (*East Riding of Yorkshire Council, 2019*).
91. Environment Agency mapping shows that most of the Onshore Development Area lies outside of Flood Zones 2 and 3 areas, as shown in **Plate 3-4**.
92. There are four main higher risk areas within the Onshore Development Area:
- In the Landfall Zone, the coastline is in Flood Zone 3, due to the risk of tidal flooding;
 - Between Skipsea and Dunnington there are two narrow (~75-100m wide) areas in Flood Zones 2 and 3 associated with Skipsea Drain (West Branch) and Dunnington Sewer;
 - South of Catwick the Onshore Development Area crosses a ~200m wide area of floodplain in Flood Zone 3 (with some small peripheral areas in Flood Zone 2) associated with Stream Dike; and
 - From the junction of the A1035 and A165, south of Leven, the Onshore Development Area crosses a wide swathe of floodplain in Flood Zones 2 and 3.
93. Given the low-lying topography of the Onshore Development Area, the risk of surface water flooding is higher in many places. The Onshore Converter Stations are located in an area which is not susceptible to groundwater flooding and falls within Flood Zone 1.
94. Further detail on the landscape designation is outlined in **Volume 7, Chapter 20 Flood Risk and Hydrology (application ref: 7.20)**.




Plate 3-4 Onshore Development Area flood risk mapping

3.3 Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology

95. Over 400ha of land, and over 20,000m of linear habitats within the Onshore Development Area have been mapped and surveyed to define the baseline information. This has enabled a better understanding of the potential implications of the Onshore Development for local Ecology and Ornithology, which has been considered in the design of the Onshore Development Area.
96. Within the Onshore Development Area, prior to the acceptance of the Project Change Request 2 the following habitats were recorded (as per UKHab (v1) definitions):
- Cropland and arable field margins - 378.945 ha (83.14%);
 - Grassland habitats - 30.556ha (6.7%);
 - Urban habitats - 23.837ha (5.23%);
 - Woodland and forest habitats - 3.873ha (0.85%);
 - Beach - 1.621ha (0.36%);
 - No access road verges - 1.584ha (0.35%);
 - Wetland habitats - 1.481ha (0.33%);
 - Heathland and shrub - 0.536ha (0.12%);
 - Rivers and lakes - 0.37ha (0.08%);
 - Maritime cliff and slopes - 0.168ha (0.04%); and
 - For linear habitats hedgerows amounted to 15,024m, standing open water amounted to 4,880m, and line of trees amounted to 546m,
97. The habitat surveys recorded that the Onshore Development Area runs through predominantly agricultural land, including improved grassland, with most field boundaries marked by hedgerows. Arable fields are typically of low biodiversity value and are suboptimal for use by protected and notable species. However, they are an important food source for some terrestrial mammals and wintering birds and can also provide nesting habitat for birds, including marsh harriers (*Circus aeruginosus*). Further information about ecology and ornithology can be found in **Volume 7, Chapter 18 Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology (application ref: 7.18)**.

98. Some of the habitats recorded have the potential to meet the criteria as Priority Habitats. These Priority Habitats were avoided during site selection wherever possible. When it was not possible, techniques such as trenchless crossings have been proposed to limit any impact. Trenchless crossings will be used to avoid habitats such as the Lowland Fens, with other elements such as the Haul Road also being rerouted to avoid ancient woodland habitats across the Onshore Development Area. Where drilling under the ancient woodland is required within the Substation Zone, a depth of 5m will be required as stipulated by the Woodland Trust, unless a shallower depth can be agreed upon receipt of more detailed geotechnical information at the detailed design stage. Where Maritime Cliff and Slope priority habitat is located along the cliff face it would be crossed temporarily by the emergency beach access, just north of Ulrome required to support the trenchless crossing works. Measures will be put in place to protect the habitat as detailed in section 1.6.2 of the **Outline Ecological Management Plan (OEMP) (Volume 8, application ref: 8.10)**. The design of the emergency beach access will be included as part of the Drilling Fluid Management Plan, as set out in section 6.3.2.1 of the **Outline Code of Construction Practice (Volume 8, application ref: 8.9)** and approved by the relevant planning authority, East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC).
99. **Volume 7, Chapter 18 Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology (application ref: 7.18)**, assessed the impacts of disturbance to statutory and non-statutory designated nature conservation sites and determined there will be a minor adverse residual effect in relation to the Onshore Development, which influenced the final site selection and design considerations. Statutory and non-statutory designated nature conservation sites have been avoided through the site selection wherever possible. Where the Onshore Development Area crosses non-statutory nature conservation sites, such as Local Wildlife Sites (LWS), trenchless crossing techniques will be used to limit any impact to the sites or, where this is not possible reinstatement of the habitat would be agreed with the ERYC and the Wildlife trusts. This approach has also been used to limit any impact on statutory nature conservation sites, as the Burton Bushes, which is 0.12km outside of the Onshore Development Area. The Greater Wash SPA is located immediately adjacent to Landfall. The site is designated for its breeding sandwich tern (*Thalasseus sandvicensis*), common tern (*Sterna hirundo*) and little tern (*Sternula albifrons*). The Landfall site was selected as it does not provide suitable breeding habitat for these species.

100. Protected species surveys were undertaken to establish the presence or likely absence of various protected species throughout the Onshore Development Area. Overall, it was found that there will be a minor adverse residual effect on great crested newts (GCN) (*Triturus cristatus*), badger (*Meles ~~meles~~meles*), bats foraging and commuting, over-wintering birds, otter (*Lutra lutra*), reptile species, hedgehog (*Erinaceus europaeus*), brown hare (*Lepus europaeus*), and water vole (*Arvicola amphibius*) as a result of the Projects, which is not significant in EIA terms.
101. Findings also show there will be a moderate adverse residual effect on breeding birds and roosting bats as a result of the Projects during construction. The ecological mitigation measures during construction and operation are set out within **Outline Ecological Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.10)**. The finding of the surveys informed the site selection and design considerations presented in the DAS. Examples of design mitigation measures identified include, but are not limited to:
 - Permanent habitat loss has been minimised during the site selection and route refinement process of the Projects, with the most sensitive habitats, being avoided where possible;
 - Avoiding sites such as the Nunkeeling Lane LWS, Lowland Fens and Main Rivers through the selection of trenchless crossing techniques;
 - Areas allocated for Temporary Construction Compounds (TCCs) and Haul Roads will be reinstated when construction has been completed;
 - Where possible trees within the Onshore Development Area will be retained. Where this is not possible, any trees that require removal would be replanted in a suitable location within the Onshore Development Area, but not directly over the Onshore Export Cables;
 - For Concurrent and Sequential Scenarios, the anticipated maximum width for hedgerow removal is up to 24m for the Onshore Export Cable Corridor and up to 34m for the Onward Cable Connection to the Proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation. Where the crossing of a hedgerow can be limited to a Haul Road only, the maximum hedgerow removal width would be limited to 5m where the haul road crosses a field and 6m where the haul road adjoins the public highway. Where hedgerows intersect with construction access points to/from existing roads, a average of 25m (12.5m from the centre point) of hedgerow will be removed for access and visibility splays, where possible this would be limited to pruning rather than full removal of a hedge. Locations of each hedgerow affected is provided

in the **Volume 2, Tree Preservation Order & Hedgerow Plans (application ref: 2.18)**;

- An **Arboricultural Survey Report, Preliminary Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Outline Arboricultural Method Statement (document reference 10.13)** has now been undertaken and confirms in section 5.3.1 that no ancient trees, ancient woodlands, veteran trees or protected trees require removal in order to facilitate the Projects;
- All vegetation requiring removal will be undertaken outside of the bird breeding season, where possible; Construction site lighting will only operate when required and will be positioned and directed to avoid unnecessary illumination to residential properties, sensitive ecological receptors, footpath users, and minimise glare to users of adjoining public highways; and
- All ponds identified during the route planning and site selection process have been avoided, where possible.

102. Further detail on the ecology is outlined in **Volume 7, Chapter 18 Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology (application ref: 7.18)**.

3.4 Historic Environment

103. The Historic Landscape Characterisation (HLC) identifies a distinctly rural landscape, the history of which is mostly related to the period of Enclosures, from the 16th century onwards. There are links to the earlier history of the landscape, however, with surviving earthworks of medieval villages and medieval moated manors.
104. Two study areas were considered for the Onshore archaeology and cultural heritage, as shown on **Plate 3-5**, on the basis of:
- Non-Designated Heritage Assets Study Area - known non-designated heritage assets, potential buried archaeological remains and previously unrecorded above ground heritage assets within 500m of the Onshore Development Area; and
 - Designated Heritage Assets Study Area - designated heritage assets within 1km of the Onshore Development Area and 5km of the Onshore Substation Zone, to inform a setting assessment of heritage assets identified as potentially being affected by the development through a change in their setting.





Plate 3-5 Existing historic environment assets

105. A series of assessments were undertaken in these study areas in order to provide site specific and up to date information on the existing historic environment within the Onshore Development Area. These assessments included a review of aerial photographic and LiDAR data and historic map regression, a heritage walkover survey, geoarchaeological desk-based assessment, archaeological geophysical surveys and targeted trial trenching at the Landfall and Onshore Substation Zones with the Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onward Cable Connection.
106. The assessments defined heritage assets and their settings, to further identify which may be impacted by the Onshore Development Area. The impacts are not limited to just direct (physical) impacts, but also possible indirect (physical) impacts upon heritage assets which may arise as a result of changes to hydrological processes and changes to the setting of heritage assets, whether visually, or in the form of noise, dust and vibration, spatial associations and a consideration of historic relationships between places.
107. The overall archaeological potential within the Onshore Development Area, as assessed in **Appendix 22-2 of Volume 7, Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage (application ref: 7.22.22.2)**, and prior to the assessment of the geophysical survey data, is considered to be moderate, with the following key themes drawn out based on information available to date:
- There is limited potential for encountering archaeology of Palaeolithic date within the Onshore Development Area;
 - Evidence of Mesolithic and Neolithic settlement activity largely comprises of stray finds of flint objects, with some evidence of settlement along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor suggesting a moderate potential for encountering unrecorded assets;
 - Neolithic funerary activity is recorded within the Onshore Substation Zone and across the surrounding landscape suggesting a moderate to high likelihood for unrecorded assets relating to funerary practice;
 - Evidence for Bronze Age and Iron Age activity is far more common within the Onshore Development Area with settlement activity recorded to the east of Routh and north of Long Riston, as well as evidence for funerary activity to the southeast of the Onshore Substation Zone. This suggests a moderate to high likelihood for unrecorded assets relating to settlement activity and funerary practice;

- Evidence of Roman occupation within the Onshore Development Area is limited to a single Romano-British settlement site. Within the wider study area further activity is recorded including two Roman enclosures and various chance finds. This suggests a low to moderate likelihood for further unrecorded assets relating to settlement activity;
 - High likelihood of unrecorded assets relating to the medieval period (and potentially the early medieval period) as evidenced by the agricultural use of the land and will likely relate to settlement particularly around Nunkeeling, Catfoss and Eske;
 - Unrecorded assets of Post Medieval date are likely to relate to settlement, commerce, agriculture and industry activity concentrated around established villages and towns. Concentrated evidence is noted around Skipsea, Riston Grange, Routh, Hull Bridge and the southern outskirts of Beverley;
 - Moderate likelihood of surviving unrecorded evidence relating to defensive measures during WWII particularly around the coastal areas; and
 - The WWII Heavy Anti-aircraft gunsite, 350m west of Butt Farm (Scheduled Monument), comprises standing, earthwork and buried remains, including the core of four gun emplacements. Outside the scheduled area, the southern extent of the wider gunsite lies within the Onshore Development Area. Without mitigation there may be potentially significant effects on the Scheduled Monument, from changes to heritage setting and associated heritage significance.
108. The setting of the WWII Heavy Anti-aircraft gunsite which is a Scheduled Monument will be considered as part of the Design Review Panel process, at the detailed design stage. The Design Review Process is detailed in section 5.

109. There are no designated heritage assets and 26 non-designated heritage assets located within the Onshore Development Area. These assets, potential heritage assets areas and other findings from the assessments informed the site selection and design considerations presented in the DAS. A total of 18 areas were highlighted as areas of possible high archaeological significance from the Phase 1 geophysical surveys. These fed into route refinement and micro-siting of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor with a total of nine areas being totally or partially avoided within the final Onshore Development Area. This included a significant route change around Nunkeeling to avoid an area of likely significant importance. Additional trial trenching has also been undertaken at the Landfall Zone, which has identified extensive archaeology and will feed into the detailed design and location of the compounds.
110. Further detail on the historic environment is outlined in **Volume 7, Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage (application ref: 7.22)**.



4 Onshore Design Principles

111. The following design principles have been developed to represent how the design of the Landfall, Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onshore Converter Stations have responded to the variety of technical and environmental development criteria.




Plate 4-1 Overview of the DBS design principles

112. **Coordinated development** ensures that all aspects of the Projects are well integrated, while **responsive construction** adapts to site conditions, designations and evolving technical requirements. By **avoiding sensitive features**, the Projects will reduce environmental and visual impacts whilst retaining key ecological assets and views. **Landscape restoration** and **ecological enhancements** will contribute to the sustainability and biodiversity of the area, creating a balanced and thriving ecosystem. **Visual mitigation** techniques are employed to integrate the Projects sensitively into its surroundings, reducing visual impact and enhancing aesthetic appeal, including consideration of the WWII Heavy Anti-aircraft gunsite which is a Scheduled Monument as detailed in sections 3.4 and 5.

113. Finally, **stakeholder consultation** has fostered community engagement and ensures that the Projects outline design has addressed feedback, leading to a more inclusive and successful outcome. As set out in section 5 further consultation with the ERYC and the relevant ward councillors, will allow further input into certain elements of the final design, such as the external colour of the buildings and the final planting and SuDs designs.
114. **Plate 4-2** represents how the design principles have been considered in the developed landscape masterplan.



Plate 4-2 Example of the design principles implementation

115. A more detailed overview of the design principles intention and approach is provided in **Table 4-1** on the following page.

Table 4-1 Overarching design principles

Key Design Principles	
Principle	Description
	Coordinated Development Where possible, development and construction will be coordinated to simplify the Onshore Development Areas delivery, mitigate any unnecessary environmental impacts and limit local receptor and stakeholder disruption. <i>Example: The Substation Zone has been developed to house two Onshore Converter Stations in a co-located footprint to reduce the development area and potential climate impacts, such as reduced surface water run-off.</i>
	Responsive Construction Onshore Development Area construction will be responsive to existing conditions and designations to reduce impact. This will include undergrounding cables to reduce above ground infrastructure, reducing corridor widths and implementing trenchless crossings to minimise disturbance, where appropriate. <i>Example: The Onshore Export Cable Corridor has been selected specifically to limit and mitigate the impacts on climate and place.</i>
	Avoid Sensitive Features Cable routing and Converter Station locations will be selected to avoid sensitive features such as settlements, ecologically valuable or designated sites and habitat areas. <i>Example: An appropriate buffer has been left between the ancient woodland to the northeast of the site and the Onshore Converter Station(s) to retain the existing site value and special qualities.</i>
	Landscape Restoration Landscape features that have been removed due to construction will be reinstated, where possible. New planting will also be used to enhance areas of existing vegetation. <i>Example: New planting will be used to connect the existing groups of trees and hedgerow planting within and adjacent to the Substation Zone to improve habitat networks, biodiversity, climate resilience and sense of place.</i>
	Ecological Enhancement Design proposals will seek to compensate for loss and provide ecological enhancements through reinstating and creating new habitats and vegetation. There will be no net loss to biodiversity and biodiversity net gain, where possible. <i>Example: The introduction of seasonal waterbodies around the site through SuDS and attenuation features will create new habitats, increase biodiversity and provide ecological value.</i>

	Visual Mitigation The Onshore Converter Stations will be screened appropriately through material choice and boundary treatments. <i>Example: Native woodland buffers will be used where appropriate to screen views of the Onshore Converter Stations, whilst also providing ecological value and climate resilience through water catchment and carbon offsetting.</i>
	Stakeholder Consultation Consultation has been undertaken throughout the Onshore development to ensure it responds to the developing technical and environmental requirements advised by key stakeholders. <i>Example: Consultation responses have informed the site layout and design choices have addressed feedback leading to more inclusive and successful outcomes.</i>

116. The following sections outline the design proposals for Landfall, Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onshore Substation Zone and Onward Cable Connection. They describe how the design has evolved, and will continue to evolve, in response to the site context, project requirements and the design principles.

4.1 Onshore Converter Stations

4.1.1 Overview

117. Initially the Onshore Converter Stations search area was refined to:

- Avoid residential properties (including gardens);
- Avoid housing land allocations identified in local plans;
- Avoid direct impacts to internationally and nationally designated areas, and significant impacts to the special qualities of Areas of Outstanding Natural;
- Avoid mature woodland and Ancient Woodland;
- Avoid areas that fall within Flood Zone 3 and where possible preference was given to locating infrastructure in Flood Zone 1; and
- Avoid recreation spaces such as golf courses where possible.




Plate 4-3 Onshore Development Area

118. Further site selection process information considering environmental and technical constraints is described in **Volume 7, Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives (application ref: 7.4)**.

4.1.2 Parameters

119. The Onshore Substation Zone is of sufficient size to accommodate the maximum footprint required for both DBS East and DBS West Onshore Converter Stations, as shown in **Plate 4-3**, which achieves the Coordinated Development design principle. This section has been updated to accommodate the **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** document submitted into the DCO examination on the 10th January 2025 and accepted on the 21st January 2025, and the revised design in the Substation Zone.
120. The permanent footprint of one Onshore Converter Station would be up to 32,208m². The permanent footprint of two Onshore Converter Stations would be up to 64,416m².
121. The Onshore Substation Zone may include:
- Control building;
 - GIS building (if required);
 - External fire barriers;
 - Static var compensator (SVC) building (if required);
 - Valve halls;
 - Transformers;
 - Lightning protection masts
 - Palisade fencing
 - Switchgear;
 - Shunt reactors;
 - Emergency diesel generators;
 - Service buildings;
 - Spare part building
 - Cooling systems;
 - Earth mat
 - Harmonic filters if required;
 - Lighting;
 - SuDS systems;
 - Soft landscape works; and
 - Access roads – for operation and maintenance access to equipment.

Table 4-2 Onshore Converter Stations Maximum Constructed Parameters

Onshore Converter Stations	DBS East or DBS West In Isolation	DBS East and DBS West Sequentially or Concurrently
Permanent Onshore Converter Stations area (m ²)	32,208 (122m x 264m) (based on one HVDC Onshore Converter Station)	64,416 (122m x 264m plus 122m x 264m) (based on two HVDC Onshore Converter Stations)
Total construction area (m ²)	62,208 (based on one HVDC Onshore Converter Station + temporary construction compound area)	124,416 (based on two HVDC Onshore Converter Stations + temporary construction compound areas)
Build duration (years)	4	6
Onshore Converter Stations buildings	- Tallest structure (m): 27 (lightning masts) - Building height (m): 24 - Largest building (Valve Hall) footprint (m): 75m x 50m	- Tallest structure (m): 27 (lightning masts) - Building height (m): 24 - Largest building (Valve Hall) footprint (m): 75m x 50m
Layout orientation	Converter Station laid out with large buildings to the south	Converter Stations laid out with large buildings to the south
Security and lighting	Operational lighting within the compound	Operational lighting within the compound
Construction disturbances and screening	All other construction disturbance restored to pre-existing condition Implementation of landscape screening in accordance with Volume 7, Figure 23-6 Indicative Landscape Plan (application ref: 7.23.1). Worst case considers year 1, before planting matures	All other construction disturbance restored to pre-existing condition Implementation of landscape screening in accordance with Volume 7, Figure 23-6 Indicative Landscape Plan (application ref: 7.23.1). Worst case considers year 1, before planting matures
Operation duration (years)	32	32

122. Further information on the Onshore Substation Zone can be found in section 5.7.2 of **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**. This should be read in conjunction with the **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** document which details those elements that have been updated in relation to the accepted change. It should be noted this DAS has been fully updated to account for the Project Change Request 2.

4.1.3 Design Considerations

123. The design principles, as shown in **Table 4-1**, were considered throughout the development of the site selection and design development of the Onshore Converter Stations. They will be further implemented during the detailed design and construction phase of the Projects, subject to further survey and feasibility.
124. The NIC design principles of Climate, People, Place and Value have also been considered throughout the design development stage. The site will provide climate resilience, mitigation for local receptors, be integrated into the local sense of place and provide ecological value to the area.

125. The proposed Onshore Substation Zone was selected as it is at a lower elevation than other zones, with existing woodland providing existing landscape structure and screening. There are a limited number of nearby visual receptors.





Plate 4-4 Onshore Substation Zone

126. The zone is also located in the vicinity of similar developments including the Dogger Bank A & B Offshore Wind Farm Substation. The selected zone was also the closest to the Onshore Grid Connection point at the National Grid Substation which lies approximately 2.5km to the South East of the Onshore Substation Zone, requiring the least amount of earthworks to complete the connection.
127. The Applicants have developed DBS East and DBS West transmission infrastructure as co-ordinated projects in accordance with the National Grid Electricity System Operator (ESO) evolving Holistic Network Design (HND), as updated in February 2024 (HND, 2024). The HND has confirmed the Projects will have a radial connection to the proposed National Grid Substation at Birkhill Wood.

128. The proposed Onshore Substation Zone design will reflect the existing landscape context and explore various best practice solutions to integrate the development into the surrounding landscape, minimise visual impact where possible and enhance the ecological value of the development.
129. The design considerations for the Substation Zone are described in the following sections.



4.1.3.1 Function & Layout

130. The Onshore Converter Stations, located in the Substation Zone will be constructed to accommodate the connection of the Projects to the transmission grid.
131. The largest building within the Onshore Substation Zone is up to 24m in height. The tallest features within the Onshore Substation Zone will be the lightning protection masts at a maximum height of 27m above ground level, as shown in **Plate 4-5**.
132. The layout of the Onshore Converter Stations electrical infrastructure is prescribed by the functional and technical requirements of the Onshore Converter Stations, which must be set out in a sequential order in accordance with all electrical transmission systems. **Plate 4-6** shows the indicative layout and various component types of the Converter Stations site based on HVDC proposals, the space available will be used as efficiently as possible.



Plate 4-5 Indicative built form of the DBS Onshore Converter Stations

133. The Onshore Converter Station will be HVDC utilising either a Gas Insulated Switchgear (GIS) or an Air Insulated Switchgear (AIS) design for the HVAC system. The Onshore Converter Stations would contain the necessary electrical and auxiliary equipment and components for transforming the power from the Projects to 400kV to meet the UK Grid Code for connection to the transmission grid.
134. The Onshore Converter Stations would not be manned. Access would be required periodically for routine maintenance activities, estimated at an average of one visit per week.
135. During the initial five-year maintenance period the site would be visited to ensure the success of the planting is monitored, with the aim of establishing dense, diverse hedgerows and naturalistic, species-rich woodland. During this period plants which fail, die, are removed, or become seriously damaged or diseased, shall be replaced in the first available planting season with a specimen of the same species and size as that originally planted.

136. Before completion of the five-year management period, a scheme regarding the measures to be implemented during the longer-term maintenance period will be developed and agreed with East Riding of Yorkshire Council. All planting in the Onshore Substation Zone will be managed for the operational lifetime of the Projects, approximately 30 – 32 years to ensure that it continues to provide the necessary screening, landscape and biodiversity benefits.
137. Further information on the establishment and long term maintenance of planting is described in **Outline Landscape Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.11)**.




Plate 4-6 Indicative Onshore Substation Zone layout

138. The outline design considerations for the function and layout positively respond to the following design principles:



4.1.3.2 Built Form

139. The worst case design scenarios for the Projects are laid out in **Table 4-2**. This provides the outline of built form that may be present on site. The built form design approach will be led by engineering requirements, and will follow best practice in Converter Stations design, whilst also reflecting local vernacular such as agricultural buildings where possible.

140. The tallest feature within the Onshore Substation Zone will be the Valve Halls, at 24m in height and the lightning protection masts, which will reach 27m above ground. These are subject to a detailed lightning protection study and may not be required in the final scheme. Building form will be simple cube or cuboid shaped, as shown in **Plate 4-7**.



Plate 4-7 Example of the Projects' built form after construction on the left and 10 years after the planting is established on the right. Viewpoint 2: Copplesflat Lane, Bentley as shown in the [LIVALVIA](#)

141. Building form will be simple cube or cuboid shaped, as shown in **Plate 4-7**. This is dictated by functional and technical requirements, whilst also reflecting the prominent agricultural buildings in the local landscape. The choice of materials and colour implementation on these buildings will assist with integrating the site into the local landscape.
142. See **Appendix A – Indicative Landscape Sections** for examples of built form in the Onshore Substation Zone.

143. The outline design considerations for the built form positively respond to the following design principles:



Responsive
Construction



Visual
Mitigation



Stakeholder
Consultation

4.1.3.3 Materials

144. Materials should achieve the functional, technical and structural requirements set out within guidance and best practice, whilst also providing the opportunity to reduce visual impact and integrate the site within the local landscape. The chosen material should be:

- Hardwearing and long-lasting;
- Provide a variety and colour options, where appropriate;
- Reflect local building materials, if possible; and
- Sustainably sourced and locally available where possible, with potential to be used reused or recycled at the end of its operational life.

145. Material advantages and disadvantages include:

Table 4-3 Material advantages and disadvantages

Material	Advantages & Disadvantages	Viability			
Metal Cladding	• Robust / hard wearing / long lasting / colour availability / functional properties (earthing, EMF shielding, lightning conduction) • Reflective / industrial aesthetic / change of colour over time				
Polycarbonate	• Lightweight / acoustic insulation / formable • Expensive / potentially non-recyclable at end of life / not as robust				
Timber Cladding	• Durable through specification / sustainably sourced / natural colour and tone for integration Long term durability / maintenance required / weight / fire risk may still be present regardless of specification				

Combinations	- Improves mitigation around the site / improve integration into the landscape / reduce costs using different spec materials - More complex specification and detailing / higher construction quality required / material sampling requirements increased				
--------------	--	--	--	--	--

146. Metal and polycarbonate are considered the most viable material options in response to functional, technical and structural requirements set out within guidance and best practice.

- Metal cladding (**Plate 4-8**) – Is hardwearing, available in a variety of colours and finishes such as corrugated or flat panelling and readily available. Metal could create an industrial aesthetic if misused increasing the visual impact; and
- Polycarbonate (**Plate 4-9**) – Is lightweight and easy to install, can be easily formed and can provide higher acoustic insulation. It may be more expensive than other materials, may be non-recyclable depending on selection and might require additional maintenance.



Plate 4-9 Metal cladding




Plate 4-8 Polycarbonate cladding

147. These, and potentially other material options may be considered during detailed design stage subject to satisfying functional and structural requirements and consultation with stakeholders, as detailed in section 5.

148. The outline design considerations for the construction materials positively respond to the following design principles:



Responsive
Construction



Visual
Mitigation



Stakeholder
Consultation

4.1.3.4 Colour

149. The application of colour on the Onshore Converter Stations buildings and structures will help to further reduce visual impact and integrate the site into the local landscape. A detailed Environmental Colour Assessment will be carried out post-consent to identify prominent colours within the local landscape. This will inform a colour palette to be applied within the Onshore Substation Zone to better integrate structures and fencing into the local landscape.
150. As noted in the Environmental Colour Assessment Technical Information Note (Landscape Institute 2018) *'Light falling on a surface can substantially alter the perceived colour, making it appear both lighter and brighter in the landscape. Among the common building materials, paint finished steel can be highly reflective'*. It also states: *'When seen from a distance, the perceived colour of built form or surfaces tends to look less dark and more chromatic or brighter than the inherent colours of the construction material'*.
151. Using lighter colours, specifically near the roofline may increase the prominence of a structure in the landscape. Seasonality and particular viewpoints around the site will impact the success of the colour application. For example, lighter green blocks will become more prominent in winter if located next to a deciduous woodland.
152. The choice of colour tones will also impact the prominence of a structure. The global standard Natural Colour System (NCS), should be used when selecting colours, to ensure that tones are based on colours found in nature.
153. Colour choices will be reliant on chosen materials, manufacturer limitations and availability. Material and colour choices will be finalised during the detailed design stage, in consultation with ERYC, following best practice to ensure that it meets functional, technical and stakeholder requirements.
154. An initial colour sampling exercise has been undertaken, as shown in **Plate 4-10** and **4-11**. This shows how colour can be identified in the local landscape. Given the rural context of the site, the colours dark grey, blue, green and brown will likely be the most prominent. These reflect the sky, surrounding vegetation and local agricultural functions.






Plate 4-10 Existing view from Oriel Close (viewpoint 4 as shown in the LIVA)



Plate 4-11 Initial colour sampling exercise to determine dominant landscape colours

155. The initial colour sampling exercise highlights that darker colours are more prominent on the horizon line. Colour panelling could be applied as blocks, banding or mixed patterns. Bright, bold colours would be inappropriate as they would make the buildings more visible rather than reducing the visual impact. Chosen colours should be muted and be matt where possible to reduce reflection and glare from the built forms. The following examples on **Plate 4-12**, show how banding various coloured panel can mitigate visual impacts.




Plate 4-12 example of colour panelling applied to the development block to better integrate the built form into the landscape

156. The outline design considerations for the use of colour positively respond to the following design principles:



4.1.3.5 Hard Landscaping

157. Hard landscaping within the Onshore Converter Stations will be prescribed by the site's safety, maintenance and technical requirements. A limited palette of materials will include concrete paver, concrete hard-standing, shingle and asphalt.



Plate 4-13 Example of concrete pavers/hardstanding



Plate 4-14 Example of shingle (Source: geosyn.co.uk)



Plate 4-15 Example of asphalt

158. The hard landscaping should be robust, durable and easily maintained. Where possible, consideration should be given to the use of permeable hardstanding to assist with drainage across the site.




Plate 4-16 Indicative hard landscape section

- **1** - Proposed Onshore Converter Station electrical components
- **2** - Internal vehicular route - *Asphalt surfacing*
- **3** - Onshore Converter Stations Zone - *concrete pavers/hardstanding around built form and electrical equipment with areas of shingle to allow permeability*

159. The outline design considerations for the hard landscaping choices positively respond to the following design principles:



Responsive
Construction



Visual
Mitigation



Ecological
Enhancement



Stakeholder
Consultation

4.1.3.6 Access

160. Vehicular access to the Onshore Converter Stations will be required for routine operation and maintenance. The majority of vehicles accessing the Onshore Converter Stations will be vans and cars, however, the access must be able to accommodate larger vehicles that may be required for the removal and replacement of larger Onshore Converter Stations equipment such as transformers. Consideration will be given to making the access road permeable, or incorporating permeable paving for features such as passing places (see **Plate 4-18**), but this will be subject to the loads that the road needs to be suitable for.
161. Access to the site will head south from the A1079 entering the Onshore Substation Zone at the northern extent, as shown on **Plate 4-17**.





Plate 4-17 Proposed site access and PRoW network

162. Appropriate security infrastructure needs to be implemented to ensure the site is safe and secure, with appropriate screening of the entrance route to limit the sites impact for local receptors.

163. The design of the junction with the A1079 will be subject to the approval of East Riding of Yorkshire Council as Highway Authority, to ensure safety.
164. There will be no permanent lighting along the access road (see Section 4.1.3.7), and no permanent signage except as required at the junction with the A1079 and/or at the security gate. The requirement for street furniture along the access track will be minimised. The access road will be contained by a post and rail or post and wire fence, with a hedgerow, approximately 2m wide, planted alongside to provide a boundary (see **Plate 4-18**). The access road will be flanked with sustainable drainage in the form of grassed swales, and grassland areas between the paving and the hedge boundary.
- 163.165. See **Appendix A – Indicative Landscape Sections** and also **Volume 7, Appendix 5-3 Engineering Drawings (application ref: 7.5.5.3)**.

Plate 4-18 Proposed access road ~~with potential permeable passing areas~~ indicative cross section



- **1** - Asphalt surfacing
- **2** -- ~~Potential permeable passing areas~~ Biodiverse grass verge and embankment
- **3** - Grassed swale / SuDs feature
- **4** - Hedgerow
- **5** - Land returned to / retained in agricultural use

- 164.166. There are some public rights of way (PRoW) to the north of the Onshore Converter Stations. These include Walkington Footpath No.4 and Walkington Footpath No.9. Neither PRoW enter the Onshore Substation Zone, but Footpath No.4 does cross the likely route of the access road.

- ~~165.~~167. Walkington Footpath No. 4 will require a permanent diversion. The current location of the PRow is shown on **Plate 4-17**. The proposed diversion would include two slopes, designed at a suitable gradient to divert Walkington Footpath No. 4 from its current route to cross the access road, accounting for a change in level. The footpath diversion will be surfaced with a locally suitable gravel, and will incorporate gated accesses through the hedge boundary. Prior to construction, the detailed design of the diversion including the gradient of the slopes would be agreed with ERYC. All current proposals are indicative.
- ~~166.~~168. The outline design considerations for the site access positively respond to the following design principles:



4.1.3.7 Lighting

~~168.~~170. There will be no continuous lighting of the Onshore Converter Stations at night. The Onshore Converter Stations will only require lighting during maintenance and operational visits for health and safety and security reasons. Maintenance and operational visits would be approximately once per week, and would normally occur during daylight hours. Should there be a requirement for dusk or evening visits, external lighting may be activated during these visits. It is assumed that this may occur for a 2-hour site attendance period, approximately 4 days per annum. Areas that will likely require lighting within working hours during the winter months, when it gets dark early include:

- Key routes;
- Wayfinding;
- Safety signage; and
- Building entrances.

171. There will be no lighting of the permanent access roads i.e. no lighting columns will be installed except at the junction with the A1079, where required by the East Riding of Yorkshire Council Highway authority for safety reasons. There will be lighting at the security gate, however, this will only be activated when accessing the site and will not be continuously lit.

~~169.~~172. Lighting should only operate when required and be directional within the site boundary only, as shown in **Plate 4-19**, to reduce light spill into the surrounding landscape. Some of the lighting examples that would ensure this includes:

- Building mounted lighting;
- Motion detecting lighting above entrances;
- Signage lighting; and
- Low level lighting such as bollards to reduce light spill along routes.




Plate 4-19 Lighting examples

- **1** - Example of building mounted lighting;
- **2** - Example of motion detecting lighting above entrances; and
- **3** - Proposed dark corridor outside of the site boundary.

~~170.~~173. There would be a need to maintain dark corridors around the site for ecological and habitat reasons as shown in **Plate 4-19** and **4-20**, in line with the latest industry guidance. These dark corridors will provide buffer zones around the Onshore Substation Zone for wildlife, reducing habitat disturbance. Wildlife friendly lighting needs to consider:

- Lighting locations - primarily away from sensitive habitats adjacent to the site such as hedgerow or woodland;
- Type of light source - LED luminaires offer low intensity lighting and can be adjusted/dimmed as required for seasonal benefits;
- Illumination levels - Low level and directional lighting will minimize light spill reaching buffers areas; and
- Warmth/tone of lighting - Warm tone lighting, avoiding white and blue wavelengths of the light spectrum, is more sensitive to bats in the local environment.



Plate 4-20 Dark corridor proposals

- ~~171.~~174. DCO Requirement 22 covers the control of artificial light emissions. The Onshore Converter Stations must not be brought into operation until a written scheme for the management and mitigation of artificial light emissions during the operation of the Onshore Converter Stations has been submitted to and approved by ERYC. Any scheme approved must also be implemented as approved.
- ~~172.~~175. A detailed assessment of lighting requirements would be defined at detailed design, as part of this written scheme. Outline operation and maintenance of the lighting proposal is specified **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**.
- ~~173.~~176. The outline design considerations for the lighting within and around the site positively responds to the following design principles:



4.1.3.8 Soft Landscaping

174.177. The majority of the existing vegetation around the Onshore Converter Stations will be retained, except where access or enabling works are required. Soft landscape treatments will be informed by the local landscape and environmental features such as vegetation, landform and existing habitats. Landscape treatments in this section are shown for illustrative purposes only and are not informed by detailed assessment of existing conditions. These detailed assessments will be carried out post-consent, in consultation with ERYC.

175.178. The Onshore Substation Zone is predominantly arable farmland (83.14%), with some areas of grassland (6.7%) and smaller areas of woodland (0.85%), please note these areas are based on the design prior to the implementation of Project Change Request 2. There are a number of boundary hedgerows and blocks of deciduous and coniferous woodland adjacent to the site. This includes Bentley Moor Wood which is Ancient Woodland. New vegetation should be introduced with a layered approach which will improve appropriate screening, whilst providing landscape and ecological enhancements.

176.179. The following general principles have been applied in developing the soft landscape proposals for the Onshore Substation Zone:

- Landscape planting will provide visual mitigation;
- Where feasible, land will be returned to agricultural use;
- Native woodland planting will follow an organic layout;
- The landscape proposals and planting mixes will be informed by the trees and vegetation already present in the local area;
- Evergreen tree species will be minimised and kept to the outer edges of woodland blocks; and
- Hedgerows will comprise a species-rich mix of native plants.

~~177.~~180. Woodland planting should be implemented with an organic layout mimicking layers found in the wider countryside as this will help integrate the planting into the wider landscape. Planting around the existing ancient woodland should take care not to damage existing trees or their root networks as all areas will be retained and managed during the Projects operational life.

~~178.~~181. See **Appendix A - Indicative Landscape Sections** for examples of soft landscaping around the Onshore Substation Zone.

~~179.~~182. The shrub layer should be implemented with native species within the woodland understorey and as blocks of planting in the field layer. The field layer should include native meadow and grasslands mixes to increase biodiversity. This botanically and structurally varied habitat, as shown in **Plate 4-21**, will support a range of invertebrate species including moths, butterflies, bee, beetles, amongst others.

~~180.~~183. The growth rate of trees will depend on the size of initial trees planted. The assumed growth rate of whips after 10 years is around 8 - 10m, with whips establishing well. Standards or heavy standard trees will provide initial scale, but may struggle to establish, with a similar height assumed after 10 years. Standard and heavy standard size trees should only be used where key screening is required.



Plate 4-21 Soft landscape section

- **1** - Proposed meadow grassland
- **2** - Existing woodland and shrub understorey
- **3** - Proposed woodland and shrub understorey



Plate 4-23 Example of meadow grassland



Plate 4-22 Example of woodland and understorey

- ~~181~~.184. Existing hedgerows will be enhanced by planting gaps with locally native hedge plants and hedgerow trees that would provide additional screening, enhance the local landscape character and provide habitats and connectivity for wildlife.
- ~~182~~.185. Planting of trees will be avoided within the indicative areas for the proposed Onshore Cables and 400kV connection, as shown in **Plate 4-24**. Planting of trees within 6m of the existing pipelines should be avoided due to the potential for root ingress.



Plate 4-24 Soft landscape proposals

183.186. In addition to any visual mitigation, the soft landscape proposals aim to ensure no net loss of biodiversity. The typical species which would be implemented across the site are outlined in **Tables 4.5** and **Table 4.6**.

184.187. **Outline Landscape Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.11)** has been developed for the Projects. The OLMP will form the basis for a final Landscape Management Plan (LMP), which will be prepared by the Principal Contractor, at the direction of the Applicants, and submitted prior to the commencement of the Projects for approval by the relevant planning authority (East Riding of Yorkshire Council). The OLMP provides further information on the landscape proposals, including establishment, maintenance and indicative species selection. It should be noted the **OLMP (Volume 8, application ref: 8.11)** has been fully updated to account for the Project Change Request 2.

185.188. See **Appendix A - Indicative Landscape Sections** for examples of the soft landscaping around the Onshore Substation Zone.

186.189. The outline design considerations for the soft landscaping positively responds to the following design principles:



4.1.3.9 SUDs and Drainage

~~187.190.~~ As noted in **Volume 7, Chapter 20 Flood Risk and Hydrology (application ref: 7.20)**, the surrounding low lying topography of the Onshore Substation Zone increases the potential risk of surface water flooding. This provides the opportunity to introduce natural drainage solutions to provide increased capacity and ecological enhancements. These types of drainage systems will likely be located outside the security fence and require further ground investigation to confirm siting, scale and viability. An indicative SuDS strategy is shown in **Plate 4-25**, which utilises a variety of sustainable drainage methods across the site.

~~188.191.~~ This is based on the outline SuDs design in the **Outline Drainage Strategy (Volume 8, application ref: 8.12)** which includes a single and uniformly shaped pond to accommodate the worst case run off associated with the current design in section 1.5.7 and drawing ED13554-GE-1100. The following indicative SuDs strategy in the DAS has been developed to show this would be designed into a number of smaller more naturalistic swales or ponds which will be agreed with the East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC) as part of the drainage design and landscape management plan, at the detailed design stage as secured by Requirements 10 and 16 of the **Volume 3, Draft DCO (application ref: 3.1)**.




Plate 4-25 Example SuDS and drainage strategy subject to detailed design

~~189.~~192. These SuDs could include a mixture of attenuation ponds that may be permanently wet or seasonally dry depending on the location. The use of swales, catchment planting and riparian focused planting will create additional habitat areas as well as functional areas to deal with site water. The introduction of permeable paving, where possible, will slow run-off from the non-permeable development areas. The current **Outline Drainage Strategy (Volume 8, application ref: 8.12)** has assumed 100% impermeable surfaces as a worst case to calculate the maximum volumes of run off and maximum area for an attenuation pond to meet the required greenfield run off rates.

~~190.~~193. The final location and typology of SuDS used around the Onshore Substation Zone is subject to further detailed surveys and consultation with ERYC. Possible forms of sustainable drainage include:

- **Attenuation Ponds (Plate 4-26 & 4-27):** Attenuation ponds or basins can be situated within the landscape to create both a drainage solution and additional habitats providing biodiversity and climate resilience. The form and structure of attenuation ponds can create additional ecological value through varying water depths for wintering bird and smaller pools around the ponds margins to allow local fauna to use the site. The different types of attenuation ponds include:

Plate 4-26 Example of different types of attenuation ponds



- **1** - Permanent Waterbodies - High habitat value, whilst still providing additional capacity to retain water. These should be located along drainage channels;
- **2** - Permanently Wet Areas - Would not have year round standing water, but would have permanently wet or damp conditions creating new ecological conditions and ample capacity to store water; and
- **3** - Dry Basins - Would be dry and would only retain water for short periods during flood events before it permeates into the ground.

191.194. Water run-off from the surrounding infrastructure collects in the attenuation ponds before being discharged into nearby watercourses at greenfield run-off rates. The native aquatic and marginal planting create habitats and increases biodiversity, whilst also having a secondary benefit of reducing levels of pollutants in run-off. The surrounding planting and scale of the attenuation features provide additional visual mitigation. Sufficient storage capacity should be provided to store yearly rainfall as well as storm flood frequencies, as stated in the relevant Standards, such as **NGET TS2.10.13** Flood Defences for Electricity Substations.



Plate 4-27 Example of attenuation pond function

- **Swales (Plate 4-28 & 4-29):** Swales provides sustainable drainage where there is restricted space. Swales are also beneficial where water needs to be directed away from sensitive areas or components.

Plate 4-28 Example of swales around the Onshore Converter Stations



Swales consist of linear grass depressions that channels run-off to attenuation features and can improve quality through filtration. Swales need to be located closer to the source of the run-off so could be situated along the site boundary or entrance roads. These areas will be dry prior to run-off or rain events, with planting around the upper edges. Implementing planting again provides biodiversity and climate resilience.




Plate 4-29 Example of swale

- Catchment Planting (Plate 4-30):** Catchment planting utilises tree and vegetation within an area to slow down the flow of rainwater. This is achieved through the 'roughness' of the landscape, which prevents water from landing as vegetation intercepts it and slows surface water. This helps to reduce peak rainfall amounts, mitigating flood risks. Catchment planting provides several enhancements for biodiversity, habitat and soil stability through root networks and reduced run-off. This makes it an ideal sustainable drainage technique for rural and semi-rural areas.



Plate 4-30 Example of proposed planting catching and slowing rain water

- Riparian Planting (Plate 4-31):** Riparian planting is established adjacent to waterbodies or on land that is liable to flood, utilising a selection of plants that survive in wet ground conditions. This creates a buffer zone that intercepts rainfall, slows down surface water, reduces erosion and creates unique habitats. The roots of riparian plants stabilize soils and earthworks, filters water of sediment and pollutants and absorb water. Some examples of plant species found in riparian planting areas include Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*), various willow, hazel (*Corylus avellana*), dogwood (*Cornus sanguinea*), guelder rose (*Viburnum opulus*) tufted hairgrass (*Deschampsia cespitosa*) and meadow sweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*).



Plate 4-31 Example of riparian planting adjacent to attenuation areas

- **Filter Drains or Permeable Surfaces (Plates 4-32 and 4-33):** Filter drains and permeable surfaces allow water run-off to soak into the ground through drainage aggregate, reducing the potential for flooding.



Plate 4-32 Example of filter drains and permeable surfaces

Drainage aggregates can reduce pollutants in the run-off from soaking into the ground. Permeable surfaces could be introduced to lightly trafficked portions of the Onshore Converter Stations. Increasing permeability across the Projects will reduce the level of run-off ensuring that natural drainage solutions can provide ample capacity.




Plate 4-33 Example of filter drains and permeable surfaces

~~192.~~~~195.~~ Surface water would be discharged from the site at a controlled rate, equivalent to the greenfield run off rate which will be determined during the detailed design stage. Appropriate consideration will be given to maintaining the existing floodplain capacity and / or flow conveyance during extreme rainfall events. Further detail on the drainage strategy and approach is outlined in **Outline Drainage Strategy (Volume 8, application ref: 8.12)**.

~~193.~~~~196.~~ Traditional drainage methods such as underground pipes, gullies and controlled outflow will be required within the Onshore Converter Stations boundary to meet design guidance and technical requirements. These traditional drainage methods could connect with any proposed natural drainage systems to create an ecologically sustainable drainage solution, whilst introducing additional biodiversity, habitat opportunities and protect adjacent agricultural land.

~~194.~~~~197.~~ See **Appendix A - Indicative Landscape Sections** for examples of the sustainable drainage areas around the Onshore Substation Zone.

~~195.~~~~198.~~ The outline design considerations for SuDS and drainage positively responds to the following design principles:



4.1.3.10 Boundary Treatments, Fencing and Hedgerows

~~196.~~~~199.~~ Boundary treatments around the Projects need to be fit for purpose and provide robust site security. A variety of boundary treatments will be required around the Onshore Substation Zone, these include:

- Security fencing around the Projects;
- Visual screening and planted boundaries where there may be receptors; and
- Acoustic fencing if required in specific locations.

~~197.~~200. The security fence around the perimeter of the Projects will comply with National Grid Technical Standard 2.22, and as a minimum, be a Category 2 'Standard' fence system. This is defined as a 2.4m high fence that can be either physical mesh or palisade barrier with electric pulse fence.



Plate 4-34 Indicative fencing locations

~~198.~~201. Whilst palisade fence has traditionally been the preferred choice for large infrastructure projects, additional fencing options should be considered during the detail design stage such as steel mesh panelling. The use of alternate fencing may only be viable in specific locations around the Onshore Substation Zone and may not be relevant to the whole site.

~~199.~~202. Boundary treatments should, where feasible, reflect their setting in the landscape. This can be implemented through the use of colour on Category 2 fences or through the implementation of natural screening to screen receptors and integrate the site into the landscape.

~~200.~~203. Planted boundary treatments should reflect local species composition and avoid the use of single species and non-native planting. Broad hedgerows with a variety of species provide the best habitat opportunities. **Tables 4-5 and 4-6** provide a list of typical native species likely to be included.

~~201.~~204. Planted boundaries would provide additional biodiversity, habitat creation and ecological mitigation, whilst also providing visual mitigation through the scale, structure and colour of vegetation set within the landscape. Species selection would need to consider local provenance, existing site conditions and technical constraints such as existing overhead powerlines or underground cables.

205. Hedgerows planted along boundaries at the Substation Zone are shown on **Volume 7, Figure 23-6 Indicative Landscape Plan (application ref: 7.23.1)**. This includes both single and double hedgerows along the access track, these hedges would be approximately 2m wide for a single hedgerow and 4m wide for a double hedgerow.

~~202.~~206. The outline design considerations for boundary treatment, fencing and hedgerows positively responds to the following design principles:



4.1.3.11 Noise

~~203.~~207. As noted in **Volume 7, Chapter 25 Noise (application ref: 7.25)**, operational impacts due to Onshore Converter Stations noise are predicted to be negligible for residential receptors. This represents negligible effects during daytime and a minor adverse (not significant) effects during night-time. No additional mitigation measures are therefore proposed.

4.1.3.12 Earthworks

~~204.~~208. The use of large-scale bunds and earthworks to reduce visual impact for adjacent settlements or users of the PRoW has been considered inappropriate for the site and context. To provide visual screening, a bund would need to be of substantial height, which would require significant land take. A bund of 10m height, less than half the height of the Onshore Converter Stations, with 1 in 3 earthworks on each side, would need to be over 60m across.

~~205.209.~~ To the north of the Substation Zone, the Landscape Mitigation Plan includes the retention of an existing mature hedge to form the spine of a new screening plantation. It would not be possible to retain this or other features if large bunds were to be installed. The presence of Ancient Woodland at Bentley Moor Wood limits the potential for bunds in the north-east of the substation zone.

~~206.210.~~ The wider landform character area consists of a flat, low lying plain with little topographical variation, however the Onshore Substation Zone is located on slightly raised ground which falls gently towards Bentley. The use of bunds should only be considered within this site if there is excess site-won soil, e.g. from fill and levelling. Retaining the site-won material will reduce the environmental impact of disposing of it off site. If required, any site-won soil bunds should be low level (no higher than 2m) and take an organic, sinuous form with soft edges that create a subtle feature within the landscape, as opposed to a hard-edged engineered form.

~~207.211.~~ The soil of raised bunds tends to dry out more rapidly than soil at natural ground levels. Smaller planting such as wildflower, grasses and shrubs could be introduced atop any bunding to provide increased biodiversity without risking plant failure, as shown in **Plate 4-35**. The form, scale and positioning of plants would be key to integrating proposed earthwork bunds into the landscape. The bunds presented in this section are for illustrative purposes and the viability, location and scale of any proposed earthworks would be subject to survey and primary site requirements such as cable routing and use of excess spoil.



Plate 4-35 Example of earthwork bund

~~208.212.~~ The outline design considerations for earthworks positively responds to the following design principles:



Responsive
Construction



Landscape
Restoration



Ecological
Enhancement




Stakeholder
Consultation

4.2 Outline Landscape Mitigation Plan

~~209.213.~~ The following general principles were applied in developing illustrative landscape proposals, as shown in **Plate 4-36**:

- Landscape planting will provide visual mitigation around the periphery of the Onshore Substation Zone; Minimum clearance distances from fence lines, overhead power lines, and buried cables have been applied in accordance with relevant technical guidance;
- Where feasible, land will be returned to agricultural use to maintain the predominantly farmland character of the landscape;
- Native woodland planting will follow an organic layout, incorporating a mix of herb, shrub and tree species to form canopy layers and woodland edge;
- As detailed in the **Outline Ecological Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.10)** Bentley Moor Wood ancient woodland and LWS will be maintained and enhanced both during construction and in the long-term. Proposed measures include protection of soils and roots, management of threats such as invasive species, promotion of deadwood habitats and woodland expansion through natural regeneration and supplementary planting following existing guidance. A long-term woodland management plan will also be produced, if there is currently none in place. This would assist in securing the long-term health of the ancient woodland, benefitting local biodiversity, the landscape, and visual screening of the proposed Onshore Converter Stations;
- The landscape proposals and planting mixes are informed by the trees and vegetation already present in the local area;
- Evergreen tree species will be minimised and kept to the outer edges of woodland blocks, with the rest of the woodland a mix of locally native species; and
- Hedgerows will comprise a species-rich mix of native plants.






Plate 4-36 Indicative landscape mitigation plan

210.214. Planting of trees has been avoided within the indicative areas for the Onshore Export Cables and 400kV connection. Planting of trees within 6m of high-pressure gas pipelines located to the south of the Onshore Converter Stations, has also been avoided. It is assumed that planting hedges over these features will be acceptable, subject to consultation with the owners of these assets.

~~211.~~215. Other areas within the Onshore Substation Zone where no planting is proposed will be seeded with species-rich grassland mixes, or will be retained under agricultural use with appropriate access provided.

~~212.~~216. The landscape plan also seeks to integrate landscape treatment with the proposed drainage attenuation basin to the south-east of the substation. The detail of the landscape treatment in this area will be developed in the final LMP based on the final design of the drainage works.

~~213.~~217. Maintenance activities will be undertaken in accordance with the following, subject to any updates:

- BS4428: 1989 Code of practice for general landscape operations (excluding hard landscapes); and
- BS8545: 2014 Trees: from Nursery to independence in the landscape – Recommendations.

~~214.~~218. See **Appendix A – Indicative Landscape Sections** for examples of the landscape proposals for the Onshore Substation Zone. Further detail in regards to the landscape proposals and maintenance recommendations is available in **Outline Landscape Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.12)**.

4.3 Onshore Export Cable Corridor & Onward Cable Routing

4.3.1 Onshore Export Cable Corridor Overview

~~215.~~219. The Onshore Export Cable Corridor works includes all the infrastructure necessary to connect the Offshore Export Cables via TJBs, in the Landfall Zone (see section 4.4) to the Onshore Converter Stations. The cables will be buried along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor.

~~216.~~220. Inland from the cable Landfall Zone, the Onshore Export Cable Corridor is primarily agricultural land. It comprises open, exposed farmland with large fields, bounded by fragmented hedgerows with occasional small trees.

~~217.~~221. From the landfall near Skipsea, the Onshore Export Cable Corridor travels west, crossing Hornsea Road (B1242), and continuing to Dunnington Lane before turning and heading south past Dunnington, Nunkeeling, Catfoss, and across West Road (A1035) at Sigglesthorne, as shown on **Plate 4-37**.




Plate 4-37 Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onward Cable Routing



~~218.222.~~ The Onshore Export Cable Corridor then turns southwest and continues past the village of Riston Grange, crossing Whitecross Road (A165) and again crossing Hornsea Road (A1035) as it heads west north of Tickton. The route then crosses Driffild Road (A164) to the north of Beverley before turning south crossing Constitution Hill (A1035) to the west of Beverley. It crosses York Road, Newbald Road, and Broadgate (B1230), before reaching the Onshore Substation Zone located at Beverley Road along the A1079 and A164.

4.3.2 Onshore Onward Cable Routing Overview

~~219.~~223. In July 2022 National Grid ESO published the Holistic Network Design (HND) to support delivery of 2030 offshore wind. The HND integrates connecting offshore wind farms to shore with the capability to transport electricity around Great Britain, balancing deliverability, economics plus environmental and community impacts.

~~220.~~224. The recommended HND identified a new National Grid substation near the existing Creyke Beck substation in the East Riding of Yorkshire as the optimum location to connect the Projects to the National Electricity Transmission System (NETS). This is now called the Birkhill Wood National Grid substation and the location provides design solution and benefits from co-ordinating the development, construction, operation and connection of both Projects, while also offering potential benefits of integrated connections to Scotwind and another UK offshore wind projects.

~~221.~~225. The onward transmission from the Onshore Converter Stations to the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation would use High Voltage Alternating Current. Four export cables would be required for two High Voltage Direct Current projects, with two High Voltage Direct Current Onshore Converter Stations required within the Onshore Substation Zone.

~~222.~~226. A 400 kV Onward Cable Connection approximately 2.5km long and 100m wide will be constructed between the two Onshore Converter Stations and the proposed new Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation close to the existing Creyke Beck substation, to the south of Beverley, shown on **Plate 4-37** and in **Volume 7, Figure 4-36 (application ref: 7.4.1)**.

~~223.~~227. The cable corridor splits part way along the route to form a northern and southern route, each accommodating one of the Projects cabling, due to the presence of the Ineos ethylene pipeline, the Hornsea Project Four permanent access route and the existing A1079. It should be noted that the southern route option may require a trenchless crossing to avoid impacting the proposed Albanwise solar farm. The cable route reconverges at the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation.

~~224.~~228. Should an In Isolation scenario be taken forward only the northern route of the onward cable route to the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation would be utilised as the northern option avoids the Albanwise solar farm, and hence would avoid the potential requirement for a trenchless crossing.

~~225.229.~~ The onward cable route was considered in the context of the Onshore Substation Zone decision-making, combined with engineering environmental, commercial and health and safety considerations. The proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation is not part of the Projects and therefore not part of the DCO application. Ownership of the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation is with National Grid. Connection to the National Grid substation itself would be completed by National Grid or their appointed contractors. Construction of the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation is expected to be completed in 2029 at the earliest.

~~226.230.~~ Further site selection information explaining how environmental and technical constraints were considered is described in **Volume 7, Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives (application ref: 7.4)**.

4.3.3 Parameters

~~227.231.~~ The Onshore Export Cables would not be visible during operation of the Projects, as they will be buried along the full route. A worst case scenario of a 75m wide Onshore Export Cable Corridor from the TJBs to the Onshore Converter Stations, widening to 90m at complex trenchless crossings, is being considered for the purposes of the DAS.

~~228.232.~~ The Onshore Export Cable works include:

- Initial site investigation works;
- Site survey and environmental pre-construction activities such as authorised vegetation clearance required in preparation for construction;
- Preparation of the cable corridor for main construction activities such as erecting fencing and preliminary right of way works;
- Construction of temporary access to the cable corridor infrastructure;
- Temporary strip and storage of topsoil from agricultural areas;
- Construction of Main and Satellite Temporary Construction Compounds (TCC), cable joint bays, trenchless crossing compounds on the cable route and temporary Haul Roads to facilitate the Onshore Export Cable installation;
- Excavation of trenches and installation of cable ducts;
- Installation of below ground chamber at the Jointing Bay/Link Box locations, required to provide maintenance and inspection access to the cable system;

- Laying or pull-in of high voltage cables within duct;
- Installation of ground level 'Link Boxes' and marker posts;
- Backfilling of Jointing Bays and cable trenches with suitable material for electrical performance and protection of cables;
- Reinstatement works;
- Design and construction of crossings or protective measures required due to close proximity or crossing of export cable to existing infrastructure and natural features. This includes roads, railways, rivers and streams. This may be achieved by construction of culverts/cable structures or trenchless methods including HDD or other appropriate methodologies; and
- Installation of ducts and cable in hard ground or road carriageways where required including temporary traffic management and reinstatement of the surface.

233. **Table 4-4** includes a minimum cable burial depth of 1.1m from the restored surface level (i.e. the surface level following reinstatement, after construction is complete) to the top of cable ducts or Jointing Bays on agricultural land. This minimum depth is included in the landowner agreements and includes the topsoil layer, which varies in thickness from 100mm to 500mm. The minimum depth has been designed to allow majority of day-to-day agricultural activities e.g. ploughing and drilling to operate above the cables during operation. A protective tile will be placed approximately 200mm above the cable ducts and Jointing Bays to warn the landowner the cables are directly beneath. However, the cable ducts and Jointing Bays would only be installed at the minimum depth where a restriction is present e.g. below ground obstacles, hydrological geological or topographical design reasons.

234. Where restrictions are not present the cables will be buried at the design depth. As the depth of top soil layer varies in thickness and may change during the operational lifetime of the Projects a design depth of 1.2m below the subsoil layer has also been included in **Table 5-27**. By referencing the subsoil layer, this then means if for any reason the depth of topsoil above this is either not present or very shallow the ducts will remain below the minimum depth from the surface as stipulated in the land owner agreements. Based on the design depth of 1.2m below the subsoil level and a topsoil layer thickness of 100 -500mm the cable ducts and Jointing Bays would be buried on average 1.3 – 1.7m below the restored surface level.

Table 4-4 Onshore Export Cable Corridor and Onward Cable Routing Maximum Constructed Parameters

Onshore Export Cable Corridor or Onward Cable Route	DBS East or DBS West In Isolation	DBS East and DBS West Sequentially or Concurrently
Onshore Export Cable Corridor length from the Landfall Zone to the Onshore Substation Zone (km) (approximate)	32	32
Onshore Onward Cable Connection to the new National Grid substation (approximate)	2.5	2.5
Number of earth cables per circuits	1	1
Number of trenches	Up to 2 (2 x HVDC)	Up to 4 (4 x HVDC)
Number of Temporary Construction Compounds	17 2 main compounds 15 satellite compounds	17 2 main compounds 15 satellite compounds
Size of Temporary Main Construction Compound (m ²)	10,000 (roughly 100x100m)	10,000(roughly 100x100m)
Size of Temporary Satellite Construction Compounds(m ²)	5625 (roughly 75x75m)	5625(roughly 75x75m)
Cable corridor width (m)	41m	75m
Cable corridor width at complex trenchless crossings (m)	45m	90m
Depth of trench to top of duct/cables (m) (approximate from surface including top soil layer)	1.3 – 1.7	1.3 – 1.7
<u>Minimum depth to top of duct/cables from the restored surface including topsoil layer, for agricultural land where restrictions are present (m) –</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>1.1</u>

Onshore Export Cable Corridor or Onward Cable Route	DBS East or DBS West In Isolation	DBS East and DBS West Sequentially or Concurrently
<u>this allows the majority of agricultural practices to continue above the cable ducts¹</u>		
<u>Minimum depth to top of the protective tile from the restored surface including topsoil layer, for agricultural land where restrictions are present (m) - this allows the majority of agricultural practices to continue above the cable ducts²</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>0.9</u>
<u>Design bBurial depth to top of duct/ cables (m)-where restrictions are not present (below subsoil level (excluding topsoil layer) (m)</u>	1.2	1.2
<u>Average burial depth to top of duct / cables (m) from the restored surface including topsoil layer (c.100-500mm) where restrictions are not present</u>	<u>1.3 - 1.7</u>	<u>1.3 - 1.7</u>
<u>Indicative burial depth (m) (approximate from surface including top soil (c.400mm layer)</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>1.6</u>
Typical Jointing Bay frequency (km)	Every 0.75 - 1.5	Every 0.75 - 1.5
No. Jointing Bays (approximate)	103	205

¹ This minimum depth is included in the Deed of Grant (voluntary landowner agreements)

² This minimum depth is included in the Deed of Grant (voluntary landowner agreements)

Onshore Export Cable Corridor or Onward Cable Route	DBS East or DBS West In Isolation	DBS East and DBS West Sequentially or Concurrently
Jointing Bay construction dimensions (m)	10 x 25	10 x 25
Jointing Bay infrastructure dimensions (all below ground) (m)	3 x 8	3 x 8
<u>Minimum Jointing Bay burial depth from the restored surface including topsoil layer to top of Jointing Bay, for agricultural land where restrictions are present (m) – this allows the majority of agricultural practices to continue above the Jointing Bay (excluding the manhole cover)</u>	<u>1.135</u>	<u>1.135</u>
<u>Minimum Jointing Bay burial depth from the restored surface including topsoil layer to top of protective tile, for agricultural land where restrictions are present (m) – this allows the majority of agricultural practices to continue above the Jointing Bay (excluding the manhole cover)</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>0.9</u>
<u>Design burial depth to top of Jointing Bay below subsoil level (excluding topsoil layer) for where restrictions are not present (m) – this allows the majority of agricultural practices to continue above the</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>1.2</u>

Onshore Export Cable Corridor or Onward Cable Route	DBS East or DBS West In Isolation	DBS East and DBS West Sequentially or Concurrently
<u>Jointing Bay (excluding the manhole cover)</u>		
Number of Earth / Link Boxes and associated manhole covers	103	205
Link Box construction dimensions (m)	6.5x8	6.5x8
Link Box dimensions / manhole cover permanent infrastructure above ground (m)	2.5x4	2.5x4
Haul Road Width (m)	5 (increasing to 8 at passing places)	5 (increasing to 8 at passing places)
Permanent easement	15m along the cable corridor.	24m along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor and 34m along the Onward Cable Connection
Temporary lighting during working hours	Temporary out-of-hours security lighting.	Temporary out-of-hours security lighting.
Duration of works	33 months	Concurrently - 33 months Sequentially - 57 months (not continuous)

4.3.4 Design Considerations

~~229,235.~~ All onshore cabling will be underground in accordance with industry best practice, which avoids the need to install new pylons and overhead cables. This achieves the Responsive Construction and Visual Mitigation design principles.

~~230.~~236. The Cable Corridor route was also designed to Avoid Sensitive Features, such as crossing woodlands and areas or groups of trees, designated site such as SSSIs and Conservation Areas and significant watercourses where possible. Settlements are also avoided to reduce potential visual receptors ensuring Visual Mitigation and minimising as much as possible, disruptions to local residents during the construction period.

~~231.~~237. Where cables are installed via open cut trenching, existing vegetation such as hedgerows, trees and field margin habitats would need to be removed within the temporary construction area. In order to minimise the loss of existing vegetation and ensure the development provides Landscape Restoration and Ecological Enhancements, the Applicants have committed to a reduced working width at field boundary crossing points (typically up to 24m wide for the construction of the Projects concurrently or sequentially). Existing hedgerow gaps will be utilised where possible to minimise loss.

~~232.~~238. During the operational phase a permanent easement would need to be maintained along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor. This would be 24m wide for the construction of the Projects concurrently or sequentially. No tree planting would be possible within this operational easement, but other habitats and the existing previous land uses can be restored.

~~233.~~239. Where practicable and as agreed with the landowner, Landscape Restoration and Ecological Enhancement will be undertaken within the Onshore Export Cable Corridor. This would include:

- Trees and woodland replanted within the construction corridor / Order Limits but outside the final permanent cable corridor easement where conditions allow to retain/increase tree cover;
- Hedgerows re-planted in all scenarios on their original alignment to an improved ecological standard, wherever possible;
- New planting to infill gaps in existing hedgerows to create new habitats and improve habitat connectivity, wherever possible; and
- Reinstate areas of agricultural land to pre-construction condition as quickly as possible and within two years to maintain the character and nature of the landscape.

~~234.~~240. A suitable list for planting (that comprises UK and local provenance species) would be provided for each section of hedgerow or hedgerow tree to be reinstated, to ensure continuity and suitability. All planting would be implemented during the first planting season following the completion of construction of the Onshore Export Cable installation works.

~~235.~~241. Species mixes will be developed along with the detailed landscape proposals in line with the principles set out in **Outline Landscape Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.11)**. All species mixes will be subject to approval by East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC) prior to construction of the works.

~~236.~~242. The size and maturity of planting stock will be considered in terms of availability, reliability and the level of screening provided. **Tables 4-5 and 4-6** provide a list of typical native species likely to be included.

Table 4-5 Indicative Hedgerow Species

Latin name	Common name
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	Hawthorn
<i>Corylus avellana</i>	Hazel
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	Holly
<i>Lonicera periclymenum</i>	Honeysuckle
<i>Prunus spinosa</i>	Blackthorn
<i>Rosa arvensis</i>	Field rose
<i>Rosa canina</i>	Dog rose
<i>Viburnum opulus</i>	Guelder rose

Table 4-6 Indicative Woodland Species Mix

Latin name	Common name
<i>Acer campestre</i>	Field maple
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>	Alder
<i>Betula pendula</i>	Silver birch

Latin name	Common name
<i>Corylus avellana</i>	Hazel
<i>Euonymus europaeus</i>	Spindle
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	Holly
<i>Malus sylvestris</i>	Crab apple
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots pine
<i>Prunus avium</i>	Wild cherry
<i>Quercus robur</i>	Oak
<i>Sorbus torminalis</i>	Wild Service Tree


~~237.~~243. Further detail in regards to the implication of this commitment on the Projects' **Biodiversity Net Gain Strategy** can be found in **Volume 7, Appendix 18-10 (application ref: 7.18.18.10)**.

~~238.~~244. The use of trenchless techniques along the Onshore Cable Corridor will ensure that flood risks remain low in the immediate and surrounding area due to reduced disturbance and infrastructure requirements. This achieves the Responsive Construction and Avoiding Sensitive Features design principles.

~~239.~~245. The **Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Volume 8, application ref: 8.9)** considers the requirement to divert surface water away from the location of excavations and the construction footprint of cable trenches and JBT construction. A Surface Water Management Plan will be developed post-consent as part of the detailed CoCP(s), approved under DCO Requirement 19 upon appointment of a Principal Contractor(s).

~~240.~~246. The Surface Water Management Plan will be developed following Stakeholder Consultation with landowners, the Lead Local Flood Authority (East Riding of Yorkshire Council), the Environment Agency and relevant Internal Drainage Board.

~~241.~~247. The **Outline Drainage Strategy (Volume 8, application ref: 8.12)** identifies measures to adequately mitigate the risk of surface water flooding through the incorporation of SuDS principles at the Onshore Converter Stations. It also identifies measures to manage surface water run-off from hardstanding areas and demonstrates that existing surface water flows can be managed appropriately on site during operation.



4.4 Landfall


4.4.1 Overview

242.248. The Offshore Export Cables make landfall near Skipsea, as shown on **Plate 4-37**. The Offshore Export Cables would be connected to the Onshore Export Cables in the Transition Joint Bays (TJB), which would be constructed prior to the installation of the Offshore Export Cables. TJBs are permanent infrastructure where the Offshore and Onshore Export Cables are joined.

243.249. Potential Landfall areas were reviewed based on the following criteria:

- Avoidance of areas with substantial infrastructure or urban land use e.g. areas of housing, coastal defences, other energy infrastructure; and
- Avoidance of areas with a cliff height over 15m, where possible.

244.250. The landfall location near Skipsea was chosen as this area provides options with optimal cliff height, provides beach emergency access without accessing Seaside Caravan Park at Ulrome, avoids the Holderness Inshore Marine Conservation Area, also avoids the Withow Gap SSSI to the South, provides sufficient space to co-locate the Projects and avoids Smithic Bank, which is a shallow sandbank offshore. Further site selection information considering environmental and technical constraints is described in **Volume 7, Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives (application ref: 7.4)**.



4.4.2 Parameters

~~245.~~251. The total Landfall Zone is approx. 420,000m². The majority of this area is required for construction purposes only, with a relatively small area required for the operational easement of the TJBs.

~~246.~~252. Landfall Zone works are likely to include:

- Construction of a temporary access to the landfall compounds;
- Construction of the landfall TJB Temporary Construction Compound and Satellite Temporary Construction Compound to support the construction of the TJBs within the Landfall Zone;
- Trenchless crossings;
- Construction of four TJBs, within the TJB Temporary Construction Compound;
- Pull-in of duct from barge (alternatively, they may be pushed from landfall side);
- Pull-in of offshore high voltage cables from vessel;
- Transition jointing of offshore / Onshore Export Cables;
- Backfilling of TJBs ; and
- Reinstatement works.

Table 4-7 Landfall Zone Onshore Maximum Constructed Parameters

Landfall Zone	DBS East or DBS West In Isolation	DBS East and DBS West Sequentially or Concurrently
Number of complete trenchless crossing ducts	(maximum): 3 (2 for power cables, 1 for fibre optic cables)	Number of completed trenchless crossing ducts : 6 (4 for power cables, 2 for fibre optic cables)
Indicative trenchless crossing depth (m)	20	20
Number of Transition Joint Bays	2	4

Landfall Zone	DBS East or DBS West In Isolation	DBS East and DBS West Sequentially or Concurrently
Transition Joint Bay(s) dimensions (m) – each	5 x 20	5 x 20
Permanent land take for total number of TJBs (m ²) – including below ground infrastructure	200	400
Number of Link Boxes – the only above ground infrastructure	2	4
Link Box Dimensions (m)	2.5 x 4	2.5 x 4
TJB Temporary Construction Compound dimensions (m)	110x75	190x75
Satellite Temporary Construction Compound dimensions (m)	75x75	75x75
Duration of construction works at landfall zone (months)	Up to 18 (not continuous)	Concurrently - Up to 18 (not continuous) Sequentially - Up to 48 (not continuous)

4.4.3 Design Considerations

- ~~247.~~253. The chosen Landfall area lies along the beaches of Skipsea Sands and nearby Ulrome Sands and Atwick Sands, which comprise of narrow sandy and shingle beaches backed by boulder clay cliffs that have a height between 7.5m and 13m. These beaches are used for recreation with access and parking provided at the holiday parks at Ulrome, Skipsea, Skirlington and Atwick. Each of these holiday parks are visible features along this coast, with the church steeples in Skipsea and Ulrome forming prominent landmarks on the skyline.
- ~~248.~~254. The beaches are locally distinctive, although they do not form an integral part of the local Landscape Character, being largely obscured from the immediate coastal edge. The beaches will remain open and operational during the construction of the Landfall area.
- ~~249.~~255. A commitment to trenchless techniques has been made by the Applicants to achieve the Responsive Construction design principle by minimising construction activity on the beach and minimising any impact to the local environment.
- ~~250.~~256. The cables will be installed in ducts under the beach using a trenchless solution (likely to be an HDD). Trenchless crossing techniques can be used to avoid physical obstacles and environmental constraints by drilling underneath them for cable installation. No permanent above-ground infrastructure, other than cable markers and manholes (up to four within landfall), will be visible during the operation of the Projects.
- ~~251.~~257. The Landfall Zone extends inland to allow the TJBs to be located beyond any areas at risk of natural coastal erosion, and to provide space for temporary construction logistics and access requirements.
- ~~252.~~258. During construction, some temporary disturbance will occur at the Landfall compound located inland. These areas will be backfilled with subsoil and topsoil and reinstated to pre-construction condition, which includes reinstating planting if necessary. Reinstating/introducing planting achieves the Landscape Restoration, Ecological Enhancement and Visual Mitigation design principles.
- ~~253.~~259. Specific replanting specifications will be set out within **Outline Landscape Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.11)** and **Outline Ecological Management Plan (Volume 8, application ref: 8.10)**, produced post-consent.

5 Design Review Process

~~255.~~261. This section sets out the design review process that the Applicants would undertake post-consent.

~~256.~~262. The design of the Onshore Substation Zone would benefit from independent advisors and internal design champions undertaking a design review process. This would ensure that the development and the design proposals are high-quality, provides appropriate mitigation to adverse impacts and enhance the overall outcomes.

5.1 Project Design Champion

~~257.~~263. A Project Design Champion, at a suitable level of seniority and who attends the relevant project board meetings would be appointed to the Projects. This role would be by direct appointed by the Director of Engineering based on Competence and Experience assessment. They would ensure that the Onshore Development is designed and built to the highest possible standard and in line with the design principles and vision outlined in section 1.3. The Design Champion would ideally be someone with a broad range of experiences.

~~258.~~264. The Institution of Civil Engineers notes that a Design Champion '*would help to set the right brief and develop a project-specific vision and principles*' and would also '*ensure that the vision and principles inform decision-making, and that there is adequate reporting on design progress and value*'.

~~259.~~265. NPS EN-1 section 4.7.5 notes 'A project board level design champion could be appointed, and a representative design panel used to maximise the value provided by the infrastructure'.

~~260.~~266. The NIS 2020, also includes an aim for '*all infrastructure projects to have a board level design champion in place by the end of 2021 at either the project, programme or organisational level, supported where appropriate by design panels*'.

~~261.~~267. The Design Champion, would likely be the representative engaging with the Design Review Panel. The Design Champion and Design Review Panel will include person(s) not directly involved in the design development and person(s) with the authority to influence the Projects design within the Applicants organisation. They will be selected based on design experience, commitment to the design principles and seniority to hold the project team to account and challenge decisions when appropriate.

5.2 Design Review Panel Process

~~262.~~268. The Design Review Panel will include a multi-disciplinary team of professionals with experience working in the built environment. The Panel will provide independent and impartial expert advice at the detailed design stage and would include professionals with suitable experience in the design and build of electrical infrastructure. In addition, the panel would include an independent landscape architect ~~and an independent architect or person~~ with ~~the~~ equivalent professional experience to provide impartial advice on the proposed designs.

~~263.~~269. NPS EN-1 section 4.7.8 notes '*Applicants should consider taking independent professional advice on the design aspects of a proposal. In particular, the Design Council can be asked to provide design review for nationally significant infrastructure projects and applicants are encouraged to use this service*'.

~~264.~~270. With a Design Review Panel in place specifically for the Projects, the Applicants would not seek a Design Council Review at the detailed design stage. It is considered the outputs of the Design Review Panel would provide a comparable output.

~~265.~~271. Seeking multi-disciplinary advice and guidance from the Projects specific Design Panel would feed into the detailed design process and provide varied and alternative design approaches to achieving good design of the Onshore Developments. They would also be responsible for ensuring the detailed design is undertaken in accordance with the DAS.

~~266.~~272. It should be noted that some elements of the design approach are fixed due to technical guidance and the Panel would not be able to advise on these. This includes elements such as the site layout, massing of the buildings, dimensions of the equipment and the requirement for security fencing. The Panel would be able to advise on elements such as material finishes, colour, soft landscape and SuDs etc.

- ~~267.~~273. A detailed Terms of Reference (ToR) guide for the Design Review Panel will be agreed between the Design Review Panel members, the East Riding of Yorkshire Council and the Applicants prior to a review of the design so that all members understand the elements of the design they are able to influence and those that would be fixed and why. If a fixed element of the design is challenged by a panel members, a suitable engineering explanation for its essential requirement or a health and safety justification would be provided by the engineering team or Contractor and recorded as part of the Design Review Panel process.
- ~~268.~~274. As noted in paragraph 138 of the NPPF 2024, the local planning authority will have regard for any recommendations made by Design Review Panel. Requirement 9 of the **Volume 3, Draft DCO (application ref: 3.1)** requires the relevant planning authority to agree the detailed design of the works numbers 25A and 26 A/B as shown in the **Volume 2, Works Plan (Onshore) (application ref: 2.5)**. The details submitted for approval under Requirement 9 must be in accordance with the DAS. The outcomes of the Design Review Panel would also feed into the final Landscape Management Plan (LMP), as panel members may provide comments on both the soft landscaping and the SuDs design which form part of that plan. The LMP must be approved by the relevant planning authority as detailed in Requirements 10 and 11 the **Volume 3, Draft DCO (application ref: 3.1)**.
- ~~269.~~275. Following a review of the detailed design of the Onshore Converter Stations and/or the Landscape Management Plan(s) provided by the appointed Contractor, the Design Review Panel will prepare a draft report based on their recommendations for the elements of the design agreed in the terms of reference ToR, above. This would be reviewed by the project team and appointed Contractor to agree which of the measures could be implemented and justification would be provided for those that could not. The report presenting the Design Review Panel outcomes would be issued to the both the East Riding of Yorkshire Council and the relevant ward councillors and Historic England for comment. It should be noted that this process may take place in multiple design phases depending upon which construction scenario is selected i.e. if the Projects are developed concurrently or sequentially. The Design Review Panel may also be engaged over a number of design phases or steps, to allow works to progress, for example an outline design may be reviewed to allow the platforms levels to be designed, with further external building colouring or planting detail agreed at a later date of the design.

- ~~270.~~276. A programme for the Design Review Panel process and development of the detailed design process would be agreed with the East Riding of Yorkshire Council, the relevant ward councillors and Historic England ~~relevant stakeholders~~ post DCO consent. Comments on any outputs of any Design Panel review must be provided within 28 days to the Applicants, by the East Riding of Yorkshire Council, ~~and~~ the relevant ward councillors and Historic England, the 28-day period would commence from the receipt of any reports.
277. If a specific stakeholder e.g. an East Riding of Yorkshire Council drainage engineer is not able to respond in 28 days due to the technical nature of the review, this needs to be raised when agreeing the programme with stakeholders at the start of the process and must be agreed between all parties, to avoid unnecessary delay to the Design Review Process.
278. Prior to the issue of any Design Review Panel reports, a meeting will be held with the East Riding of Yorkshire Council, the relevant ward councillors and Historic England to present the design, where relevant explain the outputs of any reports and allow any questions to be asked, ahead of the review period commencing.
279. A report would be provided stating how any comments made by the East Riding of Yorkshire Council, the relevant ward councillors and Historic England had been addressed, prior to the final design being submitted to the ERYC to discharge DCO Requirement 9.

6 Summary

~~271.280.~~ This Design and Access Statement supports the DCO application for the Projects. It sets out the various approaches that will be implemented to achieve good design in accordance with national policy and guidance.

~~272.281.~~ The DAS has been updated during the DCO examination to accommodate changes to the design at the Substation Zone included in the **Project Change Request 2 – Onshore Substation Zone (document reference 10.53)** document submitted into the DCO examination on the 10th January 2025. The change was accepted by the Examining Authority (ExA) on January 21st 2025. The DAS has also been updated to respond to the newly developed PINS guidance on ‘Good Design’ October, 2024.


~~273.282.~~ The Applicants have stated their understanding of good design in section 1.3, and outlined their approach to delivering this in section 4. This includes committed design principles which will ensure that all elements of the Projects are designed and delivered in a way that minimises impacts while securing long-term enhancement.

~~274.283.~~ The Projects will achieve good design, whilst at the same time balancing operational requirements, through application of these principles:

- Coordinated development;
- Responsive construction;
- Avoiding sensitive features;
- Landscape restoration;
- Ecological enhancement;
- Visual mitigation and
- Stakeholder consultation.

~~275.284.~~ The approach to design has been informed by extensive statutory and informal consultation with stakeholders to ensure that the context is understood. The Applicant has explored design flexibility and design rationale in an open and transparent manner. A summary of the key onshore design decisions that have been made by the Applicant as a result of the consultation process is provided in section 1.3.4.

~~276,285.~~ The final design of the Projects will depend on the final development scenario, which will be confirmed through detailed engineering design studies that will be undertaken post-consent. The Applicants are committed to achieving good design throughout this process and for the duration of the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Projects. The Design and Access Statement contains the measures and principles that will ensure that this happens.



References

Aecom (2018) East Riding of Yorkshire Landscape Character Assessment. East Riding of Yorkshire Council.

Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (2023). Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). Presented to the Houses of Parliament pursuant to section 9(8) of the Planning Act 2008.

Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (2023). National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3). Presented to the Houses of Parliament pursuant to section 9(8) of the Planning Act 2008.

Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (2023). National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5). Presented to the Houses of Parliament pursuant to section 9(8) of the Planning Act 2008.

East Riding of Yorkshire Council (2016) East Riding of Yorkshire Local Plan 2012-2029: Strategy Document.


Landscape Institute (2018) Environmental Colour Assessment, Technical Information Note 04/2018.

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (2021) National Planning Policy Framework.

Natural England (2012a) NCA Profile 41: Humber Estuary (NE344).


Natural England (2012b) NCA Profile 27: Yorkshire Wolds (NE437).

Natural England (2013) NCA Profile 40: Holderness (NE348). Ordnance Survey (2015) Kingston upon Hull & Beverley, sheet 293, 1:25,000. Southampton: Ordnance Survey (Explorer Series).




APPENDIX A – INDICATIVE LANDSCAPE SECTIONS


Section A - A'





Section B - B'



Section C - C'



Section D - D'



S4	P02	16/06/2025	Issued for deadline 7	SH	PM	PM
S4	P01	13/02/2025	Issued for deadline 2	BW	PM	PM
SUI	REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRW	CHK	APR

Title: Indicative Landscape Sections -Design and Access Statement			
Appendix: A		Drawing No: Appendix A - 8.8	
Co-ordinate system: N/A		Page Size: A1	Scale: As Noted
Project: Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms		Report: Dogger Bank South: Design and Access Statement	

**RWE Renewables UK Dogger
Bank South (West) Limited**

**RWE Renewables UK Dogger
Bank South (East) Limited**

**Windmill Hill Business Park
Whitehill Way
Swindon
Wiltshire, SN5 6PB**

